

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_105300
Title of the Manuscript:	ARIPRAZOLE INDUCED HYPERSEXUALITY IN A 42-YEAR-OLD WOMAN WITH BIPOLAR DISORDER.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This manuscript has an interesting topic and potential to be published in JAMMR. It has an urgency for scientific community.</p> <p>2. Yes.</p> <p>3. No, it needs to write in structured consist of introduction, case presentation, discussion and conclusion.</p> <p>4. Yes.</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>6. Yes.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Dear author I highly appreciate your hard work on this manuscript, but I have few remarks:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Sort the keywords alphabetically. Enrich the introduction by adding more references. Data related to follow-up drug use is less comprehensive, how to ensure that the drugs consumed are in accordance with the doctor's prescription without any additional drugs? The discussion should present more findings from cases, research or other reference reviews. For journal references, use the last 5-10 years. <p>Hope it helps to improve your manuscript's quality Best regard, Reviewer</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Agung Riyanto Budi Santoso
Department, University & Country	Universitas Brawijaya, Indonesia