

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_105040
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Serum Prolactin and Testosterone in Premature Ejaculation Patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Yes. The manuscript is important for scientific community.</p> <p>Is the title of the article suitable? Yes. The title is suitable.</p> <p>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? The abstract needs corrections.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The conclusion should reflect the objective of the work. • You also need keywords. <p>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? They are not appropriate.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Needs to be rewritten according to journal guidelines. <p>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? The manuscript is incomplete.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It should present all age data of all patients in a table. • You should also correlate and analyze the data with age using linear regression, so that a correlation can be made with what is written in the text ... "Serum testosterone levels, especially free testosterone, decrease with age" <p>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. References are sufficient and recent</p> <p><u>additional comments</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Figures 1 and 2 are not good. • Bad resolution. • Missing data. • Legend needs to be explanations about the figure. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Figures 3 to 9: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • They should be replaced by linear regression analysis, correlating ages, and presenting the values of r2, p, significance and equation of the line. • Data presented in figures 3 to 9 need not be in figures. 2. The article needs to be completely rewritten according to the journal's guidelines. 3. Sumario e recomendações no final do artigo não devem existir. Needs to be rewritten according to journal guidelines. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The article needs to be completely rewritten according to the journal's guidelines.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Optional/General comments		
----------------------------------	--	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Carla Braga Mano Gallo
Department, University & Country	State University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil