

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_105016
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFICACY OF FEEDBACK BASED THERAPY FOR DYSPHAGIA IN POST STROKE PATIENTS – QUASI EXPERIMENTAL STUDY.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript is important for scientific research community as it focuses on an important health complication in the world of medicinal science, which is commonplace, hence further research need to be added in order to find a proper intervention or treatment to the problem of dysphagia. Moreover, the author mentions in the abstract that biofeedback in swallowing is not a routine method of treatment, hence the research can be enlightening for the scientific community in finding a novel medical solution. 2. The title of the paper briefly articulates the objective of the research, and also describes the methodology of the paper, which is appreciable. 3. The abstract constructively comments on the research objective, methodology used, results and what was concluded from the study. It also outlines upon the importance of feedback-based therapy and how it works in improving dysphagia, which is commendable, however, abstract could be treated with further brevity; it seems longer than the usual format of an abstract. 4. The article misses a few sub-sections like literature review. For a topic which holds medicinal innovation, related literature and gaps in the same need to be addressed in the paper, so that readers can understand what additional information this particular research is going to provide to the community, which have been missing in the past scientific works. 5. The manuscript follows statistical methods accurately, and tabulates numerical data in detail which is worth appreciating. However, the variables could be described in single sentences so that it is easier for common readers to understand the statistical parameters. Additionally, the article need to follow proper format for in-text citations and references which is missing throughout the paper, therefore lowers scientific value of the paper. Citations starting from abstract till conclusion need to be modified following APA format. 6. References are overall good as most of them are within 10 years, however, some referred articles are older than 10 years, dating back to 2007 and 2004, which may be beyond the scope of recent developments as the world of science is evolving and changing constantly, and concepts from that time may not be relevant to current field of study. These particular citations could be replaced with for recent works. 7. My biggest concern with the article is in the way citations and references have been handled in the paper. Statistically affirmative statements like "About 11 to 13 % of stroke patients suffer from dysphagia even after 6 months." have no in-text citation next to it. Similar data points have been quoted throughout the paper without citing the sources. I suggest author to add in-text citations and modify the list of references following APA format 7th edition, which is a gold standard in the field of research works. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language of the paper is simple and easy to comprehend, however, some technical terms could be used and explained in the paper. Spelling, grammar and punctuations could be improved throughout the paper like there are double spaces after some words, some naming words are in lowercase, which need to be edited, etc.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The paper is a good addition to the scientific field as it contributes to the world of medicines for treatment of dysphagia; it provides a unique solution to the problem. The paper uses statistical analyses of data and also represents it graphically to enrich presentation. However, formatting need to be improved with citations and literature review.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oyindrila Basu
Department, University & Country	Walden University, USA