

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_104736</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Assessment of genetic divergence in radish (<i>Raphanus sativus</i> L.)</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Manuscript is important for future scientists who will do research on particular area in future.</p> <p>Are you use genotypes or varieties? It not Clarify in title Title is old and generally, if possible try to modification</p> <p>Abstract is ok Keywords – at least add one or two more keywords</p> <p>Need some corrections in manuscript headings and sub-headings</p> <p>there are some corrections ( in written work)</p> <p>please check cross references and add missing references</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Manuscript have been written in medium quality English language.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>In Introduction first paragraph is poor and old, no need basic information of crop, it should be write according to manuscript title.</li> <li>Missing some references</li> <li>Author didn't used references inside of manuscript according to journal guideline</li> <li>In result part more need of discussion. author didn't use any discussion inside of result paragraph, only use references so its need modification</li> <li>In Result paragraph – Cluster means of different Characters- result line no-3 and 20 cluster number and value is mismatch from table -3, need correction.</li> <li>Result finding is major part so use some hypothesis/ references</li> <li>Do references in numbering format, according to journal guideline</li> </ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	D. R. Meghawal
Department, University & Country	AC&ABC, India