

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Plant &amp; Soil Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJPSS_104517</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Efficacy of Fungicides and Herbicides for the management of <i>Sclerotium rolfsii</i> of wheat</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><i>Trichoderam</i> spp. is more effective against <i>Sclerotium rolfsii</i>.and for long time protection of crops.</p> <p><b>No</b></p> <p><b>Ok/</b> reference should not mention in abstract</p> <p>No,</p> <p>Partially</p> <p>Required new references up to till date</p> <p>1. Author did not explanted methodology properly 2. Plates should explain with nos as a, b.. or 1, 2.. etc and table, pics should be self explanatory. 3.Pics and table title are not cleared 4. If SR isolated from the infected plant, pic should also added in paper. 5. Discussion is not mentioned and requires more reference for discussion. 6. Sandhya <i>et al.</i> year not mentioned and reference not added. Vincent (1947) is in the text but reference not given. 7. Most of the cited references are not mention in the text, as the total nos of reference are 18, but in text 8 only.</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. Paper is not written scientifically. 2. Nos of Sclerotia /plate should also count and also mentioned in the text.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The paper is written very casual</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Amar Bahadur</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Agriculture, India</b>