

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_105675
Title of the Manuscript:	Physiological changes in okra (<i>Abelmoschus esculentus</i> L.) by postharvest application with hexanal containing aqueous formulations.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The article submitted for review is devoted to a very interesting issue - keeping fruits fresh, using the example of okra pods, which deteriorate very quickly and cease to retain their suitability for food purposes. The studies conducted by the authors, in my opinion, can also be used for a wide range of fruit plants, which are also subject to microbiological spoilage, which drastically reduces the period of their use in human nutrition, and therefore reduces the amount of food raw materials.</p> <p>The title of the article suitable</p> <p>The abstract of the article comprehensive</p> <p>Subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate</p> <p>I think that the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>The references is not sufficient and recent, please provide it.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language/English quality of the article is not suitable for scholarly communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>When preparing material for publication, obsolete (published earlier than 2000) should be removed from the list of literary sources and replaced with more modern ones.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Verkhivker Yakov
Department, University & Country	Odessa National Technology University, Ukraine