

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_104799
Title of the Manuscript:	Estimates of heritability, genetic advance, yield and its quality traits in onion (<i>Allium cepa</i> L.) genotypes
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Yes, it is important for scientific community with minor revision</p> <p>Yes, the title is suitable</p> <p>Yes, the abstract is comprehensive</p> <p>Yes, it is well structured</p> <p>Yes, it is scientifically correct</p> <p>Yes, the references are okay but more recent references should be added</p> <p>Why did you select Total 40 onion genotypes were collected from different locations of Karnataka and some genotypes?</p> <p>Kindly rewrite your abstract for better relevance and insight.</p> <p>Table 1 on Mean performance of 40 onion genotypes for quantitative characters require further explanation and discussion</p> <p>Contribution to knowledge, discussion of results and technical content of this work need drastic improvement.</p> <p>What did you achieve on "Estimates of heritability, genetic advance, yield and its quality traits in onion (<i>Allium cepa</i> L.) genotypes"</p> <p>Insert at least 5 keywords</p> <p>More recent references need to be cited. Indicate pictorial view and map of the study area.</p> <p>Discuss in line with your objectives and literatures</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Some literatures cited were not listed. Cite more recent references. Kindly check through, cite and list all your references properly. References are inadequate, cite and use recent references between 2012-2023</p> <p>Insert recommendations that can assist further studies on this.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Provide source of all your tables and figures. There is no acknowledgment.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Oluwadare Joshua Oyebode
Department, University & Country	Afe Babalola University, Nigeria