

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_104608
Title of the Manuscript:	Isolation, Identification and Antimicrobial Susceptibility Test of the Most Important Bacterial Pathogens from Subclinical Mastitis in Western Tigray, Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajravs.com/index.php/AJRAVS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. It is an important study mainly in the area where it was carried out and because of the activities carried out there.</p> <p>2. The title is well understood, but the samples were labeled as subclinical, but in the development it was taken as mastitis</p> <p>3. The summary is understandable, but bacteria and antibiotics are not well written.</p> <p>4. The subsections are appropriate. The description of the sampling is correct, but the antibiogram is not.</p> <p>5. The text does not have the name of the microorganisms and antibiotics spelled correctly, in addition it does not describe that the antibiogram requires the use of the Macfarland standard for the Sensitivity test. Vancomycin should not be used for Gram negatives. It was important to consult international manuals on antibiograms. In addition, the use of certain antibiotics should be used in case of proven resistance.</p> <p>6. It has a good number of consulted references that refer to bovine mastitis, but the samples were not mastitis, but subclinical.</p> <p>The work needs more information on the identification methods and description of how the antibiotics were chosen and the realization of the atibiogram. And you have to correct the misspelled names</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The article is understandable</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Is understandable</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nora Ortiz de Moreno
Department, University & Country	University of Panama, Panama