

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_104549
Title of the Manuscript:	MANAGING CERVICAL INSUFFICIENCY THROUGH LIFESTYLE MODIFICATIONS AND CERVICAL CERCLAGE: AN ANALYTICAL LITERATURE REVIEW
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as it addresses the management and treatment options for a rare yet clinically significant condition, cervical insufficiency, impacting pregnancy outcomes. The paper provides little insight into various non-surgical and surgical approaches, offering some information for healthcare professionals and researchers in the field of obstetrics and gynecology.</p> <p>Yes, it is suitable</p> <p>Yes it is comprehensive but it could be more structured and streamlined.</p> <p>The subsections and the structure could be enriched.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The paper introduces the topic of cervical insufficiency and its implications for pregnancy outcomes. The inclusion of specific treatment options, such as lifestyle modifications and cervical cerclage, adds depth to the discussion. However, the paper needs to be strengthened by providing more citations to support claims, especially when discussing the effectiveness of various treatment approaches. Overall, enhancing the citation base and providing more detailed research findings would contribute to the paper's comprehensiveness and impact.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Unfortunately the paper lacks a native tone. Some words are misspelled and inappropriately used.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sabri Sirolu
Department, University & Country	University of Health Sciences, Sisli Hamidiye Etfal Training and Research Hospital, Türkiye