

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_105903
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF SOFT SKILLS TRAINING ON TEACHING COMPETENCY OF PROSPECTIVE TEACHERS
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- Yes, it is. The manuscript discusses the essentiality to create educators who are professionally competent to increase their in-depth knowledge of the subjects, which they teach, and what soft skills a capable secondary teacher education can possess to enhance their teaching abilities.</p> <p>2- Yes.</p> <p>3- Yes.</p> <p>4- Yes. The manuscript is well structured and the sections follow clearly. I only have a few additional (minor) comments to make: I do not see the importance to write the NEED AND SIGNIFICANCE OF THE STUDY as a sub-heading. I advise the author to note it down within the Introduction or the literature review. The RECOMMENDATIONS part should be revised.</p> <p>5- Yes.</p> <p>6- No. I noted that the most recent references the author used in his/her study are dated in 2020 (3 years ago). DOI: 10.29329/ijpe.2021.366.3 doi: 10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.01.1262 Teachers' Soft Skills: a scoping review by Nigel Manchini file:///D:/Users/sylvia.stavridi/Downloads/Teachers%20Soft%20Skills%20a%20Scoping%20Review-%20Manchini,%20Jim%20C3%A9nez%20&%20Ramos%20(2022).pdf</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It needs minor vocabulary revision.</p> <p>“Soft Skills and its need in teaching” It is preferable to say Essential soft skills for teaching</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The author did a well good job writing. Generally, the manuscript is well written and data is clearly presented.</p> <p>Extra helpful websites: https://www.teacheracademy.eu/course/soft-skills-for-strong-teachers/ https://www.nu.edu/blog/essential-soft-skills-for-teachers/ https://content.wisestep.com/importance-soft-skills-teachers-students-employees/ https://www.capella.edu/blog/education/essential-soft-skills-for-k-12-teachers/</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sylvia Stavridi
Department, University & Country	Library of Alexandria, Egypt