

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAAR_105217
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATING THE LONG-TERM EFFECT OF INTEGRATED NUTRIENTS OF POME, COW DUNG AND NPK ON SOIL CHEMICAL PROPERTIES UNDER A GARDEN-EGG FIELD
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaar.com/index.php/AJAAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community. The study addresses the significant issue of soil amendments' long-term effects on soil properties, specifically focusing on the impact of different soil amendments, including organic (Palm Oil Mill Effluents and cow dung) and inorganic (NPK fertilizer) sources. The findings provide valuable insights into how these amendments influence soil chemical properties, such as organic matter content, pH, and nutrient elements, in two distinct agricultural locations.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>7. I suggest adding more references such as: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00500-022-07642-3, https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/10106049.2022.2063393, https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S037887412100859X,</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Please rectify the typing mistakes.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maryam Saffariha
Department, University & Country	University of California, United States