

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_74658
Title of the Manuscript:	MODEL DEVELOPMENT FOR RESIDUAL CHLORINE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The whole introduction, except the last paragraph is plagiarism. In total, the manuscript has 26% of similarity which is acceptable, but not if a whole section is exactly copy/paste. The literature review is not sufficient, and the authors must consider more relevant studies to improve this section. References are too old to be reliable. Authors must use most recently published paper. In section 2.1, when defining K(t), authors have mentioned "Saidan et al (2017)." As a citation. However, there is no such a reference at the bibliography records! The discussion section is not mature and authors must explain the changes happen exactly. Authors present only two conclusion from their study. Having one conclusion is not sufficient after these amount of modelling. A heading entitled "4.1.conclusion" is empty. After first conclusion, the second has been assigned as 10.</p> <p>The paper must be proof reading.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Masoud Forsat
Department, University & Country	College of Engineering, Qatar University, Qatar