

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_108014
Title of the Manuscript:	Validity of Real Picture Analysis (RPA) Based Student Worksheet to Improve Junior High School Students' Critical Thinking Skills
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is helpful in elaborating on the significance of the scientific community in the broader context of the field.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Consider including recent references to highlight the current state of research in this area</p> <p>Emphasize the significance of your results in the context of the field and propose potential avenues for future research.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	This article is thoroughly researched. The methodology demonstrates strength, and the results are effectively communicated with clarity and brevity. The study makes a notable impact on the field, and the discussion aptly underscores the practical ramifications of the findings.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maricar C. Tegero
Department, University & Country	Leyte Normal University, Philippines