

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_108014
Title of the Manuscript:	Validity of Real Picture Analysis (RPA) Based Student Worksheet to Improve Junior High School Students' Critical Thinking Skills
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is acceptable and is highly significant to the scientific community. This innovation is something that educators can utilized to improve educative process as it develops creativity, analytic and problem-oriented students skills. These are the skills that must be practiced to shape student's independent learning.</p> <p>2. It is appropriate and relevant to the articles content; however, readers may not be so interested to look more into the content if it is written in a conventional way. If I may suggest, the title can also be written in this manner. "Real Picture Analysis (RPA) Based Student Worksheet and Junior High School Student's Critical Thinking Skills".</p> <p>3. The abstract of this article was crafted skilfully where concepts are presented comprehensively and straightforward. It captures the significance of the study to improve educational process in science.</p> <p>4. The study was deliberately crafted with the manifestation of full understanding in the articles concept.</p> <p>5. I believe that this manuscript was carefully and scientifically crafted as described in the development of the student worksheet model and methods.</p> <p>6. References were presented comprehensively and timely which adds to the article's credibility. This will help other researchers to navigate compendious understanding of the paper and may be able to utilize in similar studies that they want tot venture in the future.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The quality of English meets the desired level of the article. It is well-structured and presented in a logical and systematically way. Although there were notable minimal errors found, it can still be considered as an excellent job.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ivy A. Lantaka
Department, University & Country	Zamboanga Peninsula Polytechnic State University, Philippines