

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_107800
Title of the Manuscript:	Effectiveness of Proximal Massage Versus Palm Fisting Exercise on Reducing the Risk of Thrombophlebitis among IV Cannulated Patients Receiving Chemotherapy
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Thank you for the opportunity to review this study. The idea of research is very interesting, organized and well written reasonable. The authors have done great effort to accomplish this work. However, there are some comments and suggestions. Generally, there are some writing errors; authors need to re-edit the manuscript regarding the type of writing, number of pages, spaces... .</p> <p>Section-by-section comments Abstract:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - write in sections (Background, aim, methods, results, conclusion) - write keywords in alphabetical order - see the track changes in the attached file <p>methods</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Should start with study design and ethical considerations. Did the participants signed a form for participation, did you obtained ethical approval from you institution write the approval number - The methods section needs to be more organized . see the attached file with track comments - What are the inclusion and exclusion criteria - How randomization was conducted <p>Results</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Did you look for deviations from the normal distribution - Did you assess group homogeneity - Discussion - It is weak and needs more effort. Don't repeat statistical data, interpret the data and compare with previous studies that support or contradict your results 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amira Mahmoud Abd-Elmonem
Department, University & Country	Cairo University, Egypt