

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_107946
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and Causes of Software Piracy Among Tertiary Students in Ghana
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript explores a significant issue, which is software piracy, and its prevalence among tertiary students</p> <p>Yes, It Suits to manuscript.</p> <p>The abstract provides a good introduction to the research, but a slightly more detailed summary of the findings and recommendations would enhance its comprehensiveness.</p> <p>Yes, They are explained in good manner.</p> <p>As a reviewer i found it is correct but need ethical consideration and logical flow to enhance its correctness scientifically.</p> <p>Need to increase more references. Need to add few from current years also.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, article is written in good manner.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Accepted after minor revision.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sunil Sharma</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>GITS, Rajasthan Technical University, India</b>