

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_108119
Title of the Manuscript:	EMERGENCY CONTRACEPTIVE USE AMONG FEMALES STUDENTS AT NURSING AND MIDWIFERY TRAINING COLLEGES IN GHANA: A CASE STUDY AT DUNKWA-ON-OFFIN
Type of the Article	Case study

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The use of emergency contraceptive is required to prevent unwanted pregnancy. Hence it is important to assess its knowledge among the vulnerable group that is ADOLESCENTS.</p> <p>The title says it is a 'CASE STUDY' whereas the study design is 'CROSS-SECTIONAL'.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>1. The study title says it is a case study whereas the study design mentioned in methodology is Cross-section. Both are two different types of study design.</p> <p>2. In methodology it is mentioned that age will be a continuous variable while it was considered as a categorical variable in results. Please make appropriate changes in the methodology section.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. It is advisable not to use words in the title as keywords.</p> <p>2. It would have been ideal to score the knowledge and then categorize the level of knowledge.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It is a well conducted study with all the intricate details.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	G. Rakesh Maiya
Department, University & Country	St. Peter's Medical College, Hospital and Research Institute, Dr MGR Medical University, India