

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_107669
Title of the Manuscript:	Effective Aggregate Support to Indian Agriculture
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Qn No1: yes. The manuscript re-introduces the old discourse of subsidies within farming contexts. It supports for the joint consideration of subsidies, and investment and income support as social-economic instruments that are likely to trigger success in farming.</p> <p>Qn. No2: Is good but can be improved to "From subsidies, public investment and green box support to aggregated support to agriculture in India"</p> <p>Qn. No3: YES</p> <p>Qn. No4: YES – But the authors need to clear describe their methodology to make the study replicatable. In its current state, the methodology is not clear. Was it a meta-analysis, eg.??</p> <p>Qn. No5: YES – above concern in 4 applies.</p> <p>Qn. No6: YES</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	It is sufficient.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	The matter the manuscript is addressing is of great concern to developing and developed economies, thus articulation of the methods is important to give the paper wider relevance.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ndaula Sulaiman</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Uganda</b>