

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_107669
Title of the Manuscript:	Effective Aggregate Support to Indian Agriculture
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> The manuscript is of very important to the community The title of the manuscript needs to be revised The abstract is very comprehensive but needs some updates The subsections of the manuscript are appropriate The manuscript is scientifically correct The references needs to be updated <p>Title: The author needs to revise the title to reflect the content of the manuscript. A suggestion has been given to the same</p> <p>Abstract: The aspect of data collection, the sample size and methods of analysis is not mentioned before presenting the findings The author needs to provide an evidence-based policy recommendation based on the findings of the study</p> <p>Introduction: This section needs to be revised to show the gap that the current study needs to fill The author to ensure that appropriate citations are provided as highlighted in the text The author to maintain consistency in the use of scientific terms The author to ensure that all the references are not more than 5 years old as suggested in the in text Correct all the typing and grammatical errors across the entire document</p> <p>Methodology: The author to indicate the methods applied in data collection and the type of the data collected The Ordinary Square Regression Model (OLS) is not well specified in the study. The author to include an equation showing the model and the variables considered in the study</p> <p>The author to check on the sample size of 23 units this is not statistically acceptable. The minimum sample size should be 30 units.</p> <p>Statistically for a model to be fit for analysis the R-square should be greater than 0.5. Your models shows that the R-squared is 0.22. The author needs to recheck on the model results and variables considered for the analysis. The results need to be interpreted appropriately to show the influence/effect of the significant independent variables on the dependent variable</p> <p>Conclusion and Recommendations: The author to provide conclusion of the study as per the findings and provide policy recommendations to the relevant stakeholders</p> <p>References: The author should ensure consistency in the references. The author should know how to cite a journal, book, a conference paper etc.</p> <p>All the materials cited in the intext should be in the reference section and vice versa</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	YES	
General comments	The article is adequate in form and content and accepted with minor corrections. The journal to consider publishing this article subject to the above comments addressed.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	John Kennedy Musyoka
Department, University & Country	University of Embu, Kenya