

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <b>Advances in Research</b>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_AIR_108007</b>  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>Crafting E-Learning Pathways: A Student's Insight into 'Understanding Disciplines and School Subjects' in Teacher Education in Cuddalore district of Tamilnadu</b> |
| Type of the Article      | <b>Original Research Article</b>  |

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b><br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b><br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>The pattern of presenting this manuscript does no seem to underscore its importance. It rather looks like a lesson note than a research report. The article lacks organisation.</b></li> <li>2. The title is not suitable, it can read, "<b>Perceptions of B.Ed. students towards e-learning resources in Cuddalore district of Tamilnadu</b>" Or "<b>Assessing the efficacy of e-learning resources in facilitating subject comprehension in Cuddalore district of Tamilnadu</b>"</li> <li>3. <b>The abstract of the article is not comprehensive and does not represent the true summery of the manuscript</b></li> <li>4. <b>Referances are recent but insufficient</b></li> </ol> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>   | No   |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>   |  |   |

### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> |   |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name:                            | <b>Onele, Nicholas Ogbonna</b>          |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Ebonyi State University, Nigeria</b> |