

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ JAMMR_98883
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative analysis of the utilization of reproductive healthcare services among women of childbearing age in rural and urban areas of Rivers West senatorial district
Type of the Article	SATISFACTORY

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>YES, IT IS REALLY AN IMPORTANT PIECE OF INFORMATION AND EMERGING ISSUE TO FOCUS ON.</p> <p>Title is little long, setting may be removed from the title.</p> <p>Yes, It is.</p> <p>Format of references is not consistent,</p> <p>Most of the references are greater than 5 years back. At least, author should change references which are > 10 years old.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Unfortunately, there are punctuation and English grammar mistakes exist. Therefore, proofreading from an expert is being suggested.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Format of references is not consistent</p> <p>Oyediran et al. (2013) (Maternal Health Task Force, 2019)</p> <p>Short form may be used for:</p> <p>(Centers for Disease Control & Prevention, 2016). World Health Organization (2014)</p> <p>Methodology: Reference of the formula is not provided.</p> <p>Results: Questionnaire with mean & SD is missing. Pilot study to check the reliability of the tool if self-structured.</p> <p>Discussion: Please use percentage for comparison, avoid to give very large reference values in discussion. You may give reference of table number.</p> <p>In conclusion: Author may add subcategories of all parameters which are exhibiting highest or lowest percentage.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

	I have highlighted some very old references, too long sentences in the manuscript which need to change. Overall, a good manuscript	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Farah Batool
Department, University & Country	University of Child Health Sciences & The Children Hospital, Pakistan