

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_107580
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYSICOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF OIL AND FLOUR FROM SELECTED PEANUT VARIETIES
Type of the Article	ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>offers relevant information for science, and the possible usefulness of what is obtained in research. I consider that it is important, since all research is important</p> <p>The title provides the purpose of the research and the number of words is recommended.</p> <p>complies with most of the parts of the structure of a summary, and is understandable because it presents the results, conclusions and presents a small introduction at the beginning of the paragraph</p> <p>The subsections that the article has are generally appropriate since it presents an introduction, materials and methods, in addition to the results with their discussion respectively, in addition to the conclusions and the bibliographic references cited.</p> <p>It is considered yes, since it presents a statistical analysis due to the amount of data obtained and the analyzer presenting the methodology applied to be able to reproduce the methods used in the determination of the physicochemical properties</p> <p>It can be considered that the number of references is adequate but many are old; because they do not comply with the 5 years of publication age</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, it is appropriate language.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ninoska M. Paz Palacios
Department, University & Country	Universidad Bolivariana De Venezuela, Venezuela