

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_108293
Title of the Manuscript:	Impact of polluted soil on herbivory of leaves and pneumatophore growth of black mangroves (<i>Laguncularia racemosa</i>) at Eagle Island, River State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes. Provides original and relevant information on the effects of pollution in a highly productive and diverse environment.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>I recommend numbering the sections as indicated in the guide for authors, according to: The text, excluding the abstract, if required, can be divided into numbered sections with brief headings. Starting from introduction with section 1. subsections should be numbered (for example 2.1 (then 2.1.1, 2.1.2, 2.2, etc.), up to three levels. See: https://peerreviewcentral.com/page/general-guideline-for-authors</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>I recommend referencing "Table 1" and "Table 5" in the text.</p> <p>In Sec. Conclusions: I recommend replacing this last paragraph with: "Finally, it concludes on the importance of having prior knowledge about the sources, chemistry and potential risks of toxic heavy metals in contaminated soils to select the appropriate corrective options to conserve these productive and diverse ecosystems."</p> <p>6. Yes</p> <p>References: I recommend redoing the references in the body of the text, as indicated in the "Guidelines for authors": References must be listed at the end of the manuscript and numbered in the order that they appear in the text. Every reference referred in the text must also present in the reference list and vice versa. In the text, citations should be indicated by the reference number in brackets [3].</p> <p>In Sec. References: I also recommend redoing this section, according to the following style: 1. Hilly M, Adams ML, Nelson SC. A study of digit fusion in the mouse embryo. Clin Exp Allergy. 2002;32(4):489-98. See: https://peerreviewcentral.com/page/general-guideline-for-authors</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Yes</p> <p>I include a few corrections of form (see text)</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Guillermo Martín Caille
Department, University & Country	National University of Patagonia San Juan Bosco, Argentina