

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_108063
Title of the Manuscript:	INFLUENCE OF KNOWLEDGE ACQUISITION PRACTICES ON PERFORMANCE OF COUNTY DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS IN MERU COUNTY GOVERNMENT.
Type of the Article	

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The knowledge management should be examined further on whether it really improves performance. The reason is that the questions asked in the survey are only related to the medium of knowledge acquisition and how the knowledge is acquired. However, the questions fail to address the important objective of this paper, which is whether the knowledge acquired affects the performance Meru County development projects. 2. Yes, but can be further improved grammatically. New title – The Influence of Knowledge Acquisition Practices on the Performance of County Development Projects in Meru County Government. 3. The abstract does not really reflect the framework provided. The framework has only 1 independent variable and regression analysis is not suitable for this kind of research framework. 4. Yes. Please refer to page General comments for the recommendations. 5. Not really. For descriptive research, on top of descriptive analysis min, max, and median, another analysis that should be used is cross-tab. The regression is not quite suitable when the research framework only has one independent variable. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Yes, but it would be better to further improve the English quality – there are some minor grammatical errors and there are cases where the sentences lack or have no continuity. This means that some sentences are not on the same page (are not related to each other), which can reduce the overall quality of the whole paragraph.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The Theory of KBV</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • This section specifically highlights what KBV is all about in general, without linking it with the research problem and model. Please explain further how this theory provides the foundation for knowledge management from the perspective of knowledge acquisition in government sectors. <p>Sources</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Many sources are not from knowledge management scholars. There are certain areas that must be supported by the arguments or notions of famous KM scholars, such as Nonaka, Takeuchi, Kogut & Zander, and Davenport. <p>Literature Review (LR)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • When reporting the findings of previous studies, the author should not get into the details. Limit the report to just the findings. • When writing the literature review, it is not just reporting the findings of the previous studies. The author must synthesize the literature and show the association between knowledge management and project performance or just performance. • The whole LR seems like reporting and listing down the factors that can influence knowledge acquisition. It is suggested that the author should come up with some critical arguments for the importance of knowledge management in the public or government sector so that he/she can also contribute to the body of knowledge. 	

Review Form 1.7

	<p>References and sources</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Most sources and references are quite outdated. Thus, some statements or arguments which are based on these sources can be challenged. Please find the latest sources, especially from 5 years back. <p>Overall</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• This is a good paper though, which can uncover the critical role of knowledge management in the government sector, Meru County in particular.	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Norsafinas Md Saad
Department, University & Country	Universiti Utara Malaysia, Malaysia