

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_107522
Title of the Manuscript:	GOVERNMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL UNCERTAINTY'S EXISTENCE ON PERMANENT COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)																
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<table border="1"> <tr> <td>General comment</td> <td>Readability index- Satisfactory</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Abstract</td> <td>Abstract is informative.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Introduction</td> <td>Section logically reputable the basis of the study. Study problem duly stated.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Literature review</td> <td>Adequate level of conceptual linkage in found. Hypotheses duly substantiated.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Method</td> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Explicitly state strata-wise sample distribution. </td> </tr> <tr> <td>Results</td> <td>Regression analysis properly applied where all p values and critical values duly stated and inferred. Overall quite satisfactory as the findings are relevant to the given context.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Conclusion</td> <td> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Incorporate limitations of the current study. This would add value to the paper. </td> </tr> <tr> <td>References</td> <td>No major referencing style observed particularly regarding Education discipline</td> </tr> </table>	General comment	Readability index- Satisfactory	Abstract	Abstract is informative.	Introduction	Section logically reputable the basis of the study. Study problem duly stated.	Literature review	Adequate level of conceptual linkage in found. Hypotheses duly substantiated.	Method	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Explicitly state strata-wise sample distribution. 	Results	Regression analysis properly applied where all p values and critical values duly stated and inferred. Overall quite satisfactory as the findings are relevant to the given context.	Conclusion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Incorporate limitations of the current study. This would add value to the paper. 	References	No major referencing style observed particularly regarding Education discipline	
General comment	Readability index- Satisfactory																	
Abstract	Abstract is informative.																	
Introduction	Section logically reputable the basis of the study. Study problem duly stated.																	
Literature review	Adequate level of conceptual linkage in found. Hypotheses duly substantiated.																	
Method	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Explicitly state strata-wise sample distribution. 																	
Results	Regression analysis properly applied where all p values and critical values duly stated and inferred. Overall quite satisfactory as the findings are relevant to the given context.																	
Conclusion	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Incorporate limitations of the current study. This would add value to the paper. 																	
References	No major referencing style observed particularly regarding Education discipline																	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>																		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>																		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Emmanuel Sunday Essien
Department, University & Country	University of Calabar, Nigeria