

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_107807
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Comparative and Competitive Advantages of Fisheries Commodities in Baubau City, Southeast Sulawesi, Indonesia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Yes, they are.</p> <p>There are points that need to be improved.</p> <p>Yes, they are.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>It's worth a review.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It should cite the sources of the information cited in the introduction. Include an analysis of the factors that contribute to competitive and comparative advantages, such as government policies, local infrastructure, etc.</p> <p>Expand the discussion on the implications of the results for the local and national economy.</p> <p>Remove repeated points from the methodology and include them in the results section. Cite the source of the tables. There is a reference in the text to a figure that is not there.</p> <p>Consider carrying out a sensitivity analysis to check the robustness of the results and compare the results with those of other articles.</p> <p>Rewrite the discussions and conclusions without making strong statements that go beyond the results presented.</p> <p>The article addresses a relevant and current topic, the analysis of comparative and competitive advantages of fishing commodities and adopts an appropriate methodology p LQ. However, there are points that need to be improved before it can be published.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wellington Ribeiro Justo
Department, University & Country	UFPE, Brazil