

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Physical Science International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_PSIJ_107704
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF SPECIFIC ACTIVITY CONCENTRATION OF 238U, 232Th AND 40K AND THEIRRADIOLOGICAL IMPACT IN SOIL AND RICE GRAINS FROM SOLID MINERAL MINING SITES IN IKWO LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA OF EBONYI STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Yes, the manuscript important for scientific community</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Yes, the title of the article is suitable, but long</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes, the abstract of the article is comprehensive</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes, it is ok</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. Ok, I done</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1- I used red colour to add, blue colour to delete, and green colour to check in the all text</p> <p>2- Tattle vary long - check it.</p> <p>3- Change and check all unit in all places in the text</p> <p>4- Keywords very long</p> <p>5- Why used may in a lot of place in the text? Give me details about it.</p> <p>6- Add section about Nal (TI) detector.</p> <p>7- Which software you are used?</p> <p>8- Conclusion like results (check this conclusion, also not clear)</p> <p>9 - Number of references should be more than 25 references</p> <p>10- Check the format of references</p> <p>THANKS</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? Yes, the language English is good</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments This manuscript is good for publication</p>		

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Najeba Farhad Salih
Department, University & Country	Koya University, Iraq