

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Research and Reports in Dentistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJRRD_107427
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative evaluation of Connective Tissue Graft versus Periocol® - GTR membrane in the treatment of isolated gingival recession defects- Two case reports.
Type of the Article	Case study

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The introduction needs to be revised. For example, the purpose and significance of the study need to be explicitly emphasized. Moreover, there are some mistakes about grammar and the tense of the sentences which might cause a lot of confusion among readers. 2. The title can be rephrased 3. An abstract is often presented separately from the article, so it must be able to stand alone. Hence the problem statement, aim and results of the study must be all included 4. The authors should reformat the paper according to the styles of the journal 5. Neutral 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The authors need to address several grammar and language issues. Please read and revise the whole paper in terms of language. It should be checked by a native English speaker as it is hard for the readers to follow or understand the paper.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Discussion: This section should be reorganized and written more precisely. In addition, authors can add and revise this section for the better understanding of the topic and its future research.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cheng Hock Chuah
Department, University & Country	University of Malaya, Malaysia