

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_107028
Title of the Manuscript:	Development and Validation of a Scale Measuring the Attitude of farmers towards Climate Smart Agriculture
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript titled "Development and Validation of a Scale Measuring the Attitude of farmers towards Climate Smart Agriculture" is relevant to the scientific community and the title is suitable.</p> <p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>The structure and subsections of the article is NOT appropriate. Material and Methods needs to be revisited and described properly. In my opinion, the methodological descriptions stated in the results should be under material and methods. I expected to have a map of the study area where the survey on CSA was conducted. Brief description of the current agricultural practices of the study area and the relevance of CSA items to this area. The discussion of the results was scanty and no relevant comparisons were made to the existing literature. There was no conclusion and recommendations/acknowledgement. The references are scanty and not recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language is suitable for scholarly communication.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fataw
Department, University & Country	Universite Abdou Moumouni de Niamey, Niger