

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_107558
Title of the Manuscript:	Breaking Boundaries: Exploring Polygamous Marriage from an African Woman's Perspective in Ama Ataa Adoo's "Changes: A Love Story"
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> An interesting write-up with significant issues for the scientific community</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> Yes</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> To a certain extent. There should be some information on how the analysis should be done, not just a theoretical framework. Future research directions must also be included.</p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> Generally yes. However, as stated earlier, the manuscript can be improved by providing a section on research design, highlighting how the analysis will be conducted.</p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> Yes.</p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> Generally, yes. However, there should be some references from the key theorists on intersectionality in feminism.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Generally, good. However, the spelling mixes American & British. Please be consistent.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	A fascinating exploration of the novel from a suitable lens to highlight the key issues in the novel.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Syazliyati Ibrahim</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universiti Teknologi MARA, Malaysia</b>