

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_107850
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessing the Different Biopriming Methods and Knowledge Dissemination through On Farm Trial in Salem district
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The manuscript is extremely important for scientific community as it detailed the significant contribution of Different Biopriming Methods and Knowledge Dissemination through On Farm Trial in Salem district</li> <li>2. Crop along with scientific name should be mentioned in title</li> <li>3. Yes the abstract of the article have meticulously represented the essence of the manuscript.</li> <li>4. Yes the subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate but if possible acknowledgement should be added.</li> <li>5. Yes the manuscript is scientifically correct</li> <li>6. <b>The study area map required/GPS location of the experiment field should be provided</b></li> <li>7. <b>Analysis of soil samples should have been conducted for soil fertility</b></li> <li>8. Add some more discussion for each parameters</li> <li>9. Crop data should have been statistically analysed for signifance</li> <li>10. <b>Writing pattern of this paper seems too casual, needs to be refined</b></li> <li>11. <b>Author should mention the year in which OFT conducted</b></li> <li>12. <b>Author mentioned about both OFT &amp; FLD &amp; Field day which is confusing. Kindly mention about data taken from Oft or FLD</b></li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>The language of the article is suitable for scholarly communications but can be improved by adding more scientific reasons in the result &amp; discussion part of the manuscript. Also there is scope for improvement in writing style.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The paper is too short. Important parameter like Days to Flowering, vigour index could have added to improve overall quality of the manuscript</li> <li>• This paper should be accepted short note or short communication as data from how many years is not mentioned along with season of conducting the trial.</li> <li>• Reference is not according to journal guidelines</li> </ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Niroj Kumar Jena</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Odisha University of Agriculture &amp; Technology, India</b>