

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Hematology Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AHRJ_108083
Title of the Manuscript:	G6PD DEFICIENCY MASKED BY SARS-COV-2 INFECTION: A CASE REPORT FROM SENEGAL
Type of the Article	Case study

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes, a concurrence of G6PD deficiency with COVID-19 is a very serious situation.</b></p> <p><b>No, the G6PD deficiency in this case is not masked by COVID but rather unmasked by it. So the title should read "G6PD DEFICIENCY UNMASKED BY SARS-COV-2 INFECTION: A CASE REPORT FROM SENEGAL"</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>The last line before the Conclusion is scientifically incorrect. "Our patient did not benefit from hydroxychloroquine-based treatment, as it can induce methemoglobinemia and severe hemolysis in patients with G6PD deficiency [8][14]." It should either be deleted or be modified as, "Hydroxychloroquine is no longer an acceptable mode of treatment in COVID-19 but it is conceivable that during the time when it was in use coexistence of G6PD deficiency could have led to severe hemolysis."</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>A well-written short paper on a single case presentation.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Leung, Siu Man John</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>St. Paul's Hospital, China</b>