Original Research Article

~~ASSESSING EFFECTS OF NUTRIENT TREATMENTS ON GROWTH, YIELD AND PROFITABILITY OF SUMMER GREENGRAM (*Vigna radiata* L.) VARIETIES~~Effect of cultivar and nutrient management on productivity, and profitability of summer greengram (Vigna radiata (L.) Wilczek

ABSTRACT

The present study was carried out at Research Farm of Regional Research Station, Bawal, CCS, HAU,Hisar, Haryana during *summer*, 2020.~~The study utilized a split plot design with four replications.~~ In this study, ~~The~~ main plot consisted of three different varieties, namely MH-421 (V_1), MH-318 (V_2) and SML-668 (V_3)~~.~~ and~~The~~ subplot included four nutrient treatments, namely Control (F_1), 100% RDF (F_2), 75% RDF + 25% N/ha (FYM) + ST with *Rhizobium*+ PSB (F_3) and 50% RDF + 50% N/ha (FYM) + ST with *Rhizobium*+ PSB (F_4).The result revealed that, ~~study found that both the choice of~~ greengram variety and nutrient treatment significantly ~~affected~~ influencedthe growth~~, yield attributes,~~ yield and economics of summer greengram. Among the greengram variety,MH-318 variety yielded significantly higher seed yield, net return and B:C ratio compared to MH-421 and SML-668. ~~This was due to higher growth and yield contributing attributes.~~ Further, ~~The~~ application of 75% RDF + 25% N/ha (FYM) + ST with*Rhizobium* + PSB resulted in significantly higher ~~yield contributing attributes, seed~~ yield, and monitory benefits.~~net returns and B:C ratio compared to other treatments~~. Therefore, the study suggests that fertilizing MH-318 variety with 75% RDF + 25% N/ha (FYM) + ST with *Rhizobium* + PSB to obtain higher yield and economic returns of summer greengram.

KEY-WORDS: Greengram, Seed yield, Varieties, *Rhizobium*,Economics.

Comment [A1]: Arrange alphabetical order

INTRODUCTION

Pulses play a crucial role in our foodgrain system along with cereals to stabilize the demand and fulfil nutritional requirements. India holds the top position as both the primary producer and consumer of pulses worldwide. Nevertheless, the per capita availability of

pulses has been gradually declining over the years. Greengram (*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek) ~~is a legume crop that~~ is the third most important legume crop cultivated in India, ~~following~~ followed bychickpea and pigeon pea. It ~~Greengram~~ belongs to the family Fabaceae and subfamily Papilionaceae and is believed to benative of India and central Asia (Vavilov, 1926). This crop is extensively grown across various regions in Asia, encompassing countries such as India, Pakistan, Sri Lanka, Indonesia, Bangladesh, Cambodia, Malaysia, Thailand, Vietnam, Laos and southern China. Additionally, it is also cultivated in certain areas of Africa.

In India, greengram ~~was~~isbeing cultivated on an area of 5.13 mha, it accounts about 12% higher over area under greengram in ~~during 2020-21 which is an increase of about 12 per centas compared to previous year~~ 2019-20, with an average productivity of 0.6 t/ha about 30.85 metric tonnes of green gram seeds are being produced in India.~~(4.58 mha)~~. ~~It producesannually 30.85 metric tonnes of grain, with an average productivity of 6.01q/ha.~~ In Haryana, total area under greengram is about 0.45 mha with an annual production and productivity of 0.31 metric tonne and 6.01 q/ha, respectively (Indiastat.com, 2022).

Greengram grains typically have a protein content of 23.88%, which is significantly higher compared to wheat (10-11%) and rice (6-7%). Furthermore, it is a valuable source of essential amino acids like tryptophan, lysine and arginine. In addition to its importance in human nutrition, green gram also contributes to enhancing soil fertility through the process of atmospheric nitrogen fixation. It can fix 43-85 kg/ha atmospheric nitrogen annually (Rosales *et al.*, 1995).Due to ~~being~~ aits short duration, wider adaptability,~~and~~ photoand-thermo insensitive ~~nature crop~~, it can ~~be~~ adjusted in various cropping ~~and intercropping~~ systems.~~for example it can be grown as catch crop in rice-wheat cropping system.~~

Despite ~~of~~ significant importance, the yield of greengram is very low in India. The factors contributing to low productivity include challenges such as susceptibility to pests and diseases, losses caused by shattering, limited availability of high-yielding varieties, reliance on rainfed conditions and cultivation in infertile soils with minimal or no financial inputs (Lal *et al.*, 2015). Among the various factors responsible for maximization of yield, selection of highyielding variety along with balanced nutrition is essential for high yield (Meena *et al.*, 2016).A strategy that combines diversification, enhanced productivity and improved soil characteristics is needed to address the significant gap between the demand and supply of pulses. This three-pronged approach aims to meet the demand and overcome the gap by incorporating measures to broaden the variety of crops grown, increase the yield of existing crops and enhance the quality of soil (Bhardwaj *et al.*, 2022). The yield of any crop is

Comment [A2]: Reference???

Comment [A3]: Reference

Comment [A4]: Please check, is the productivity of green gram in Haryana and India are one and the same????

Comment [A5]: Reference?

product of production potentialof the variety, climatic conditions, soil fertility and management practices ~~to which thevariety is exposed~~. ~~Some improvements have been made by scientists and researchers to increasethe yield potential of greengram *viz*., Improved breeding~~Therefore, breeding methods such as selection,hybridization and mutation have been applied and some additional improvement has also beendone during last two decades (Pareek *et al.,* 2022).Similarly, ~~E~~ensuring an adequate supply of nutrients, particularly nitrogen and phosphorus, is essential to prevent any constraints on the growth of greengram. The positive impact of organic manures, including farmyard manure (FYM), on enhancing soil fertility and crop productivity has been extensively documented. Nevertheless, there is limited information available regarding the optimal combination of nutrients from different sources, such as bio-fertilizers, inorganic fertilizers and organic fertilizers, specifically for summer greengram cultivation. Thus, this present study isconducted to evaluate the effect of varieties and nutrient treatments on growth, yield attributes, yield and economics of summer greengram.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was conducted at Research Farm of Regional Research Station, Bawal, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Rewari, Haryanalocated at 28.07 ^{o}Nlatitude 76.59 ^{o}E longitudeand elevation of 266 m above mean sea level during *summer*of 2020. The experimental site had a loamy sand soil texture with a pH of 8.17 and an electrical conductivity (ECe) of 0.16 dS/m. The soil was characterized by low organic carbon content (0.16%) and ~~had~~ medium availability of nutrients, with 137.3 kg/ha of available nitrogen, 11.18 kg/ha of available phosphorus and 149.1 kg/ha of available potassium at a soil depth of 0-15 cm. The study consisted ~~experiment consisted of~~ twelve treatment~~ combinations~~, main plot accupied by ~~with three different~~threevarieties, namely 'MH-421' ~~(V_1)~~, 'MH-318'~~(V_2)~~ and 'SML-668' ~~(V_3)~~, ~~assigned to the main plot. Additionally, there were four nutrient treatments allocated to the~~and subplots were allotted to nutrient management practices, ~~i~~ namely Control (F_1), 100% RDF (F_2), 75% RDF + 25% FYM N/ha + ST with *Rhizobium*+ PSB (F_3) and 50% RDF + 50% FYM N/ha + ST with *Rhizobium*+ PSB (F_4). The treatment were arranged in split-plot design with four ~~Eac~~replications. In this study, each plot had a size of 3 m x 5 m. The crop was sown on April 25, 2020with a row-to-row spacing of 30 cm with a seed rate of 25 kg ha^{-1}.~~The experiment was conducted using a split plot design with four replications.~~~~The experiment consisted of twelve treatment combinations, with three different varieties, namely MH-421 (V_1), MH-318 (V_2) and SML-668 (V_3), assigned to the~~

~~main plot. Additionally, there were four nutrient treatments allocated to the subplot, namely Control (F_1), 100% RDF (F_2), 75% RDF + 25% FYM N/ha + ST with *Rhizobium*+ PSB (F_3) and 50% RDF + 50% FYM N/ha + ST with *Rhizobium*+ PSB (F_4). Each plot had a size of 3 m x 5 m. The crop was sown on April 25, 2020with a row-to-row spacing of 30 cm with a seed rate of 25 kg ha^{-1}.~~ Before sowing, the seeds were treated with Bavistin at a rate of 3 g/kg to safeguard ~~them~~ against seedborne diseases ~~transmitted through seeds~~. The application of fertilizers, organic matter in the form of farmyard manure (FYM)and biofertilizers followed as per the ~~the specified~~ treatment~~s~~. After harvesting the crops from each plot, they were carefully bundled and appropriately labelled. Once the second harvest was completed, the produce was sun-dried on the threshing floor.

Comment [A6]: Include some information on weed management and intercultural operation

Comment [A7]: Provide methodology for statistical analysis

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Growth Attributes

Table 1 displays plant height (cm) of greengram crop at different growth stages. The data revealed that plant height was significantly influenced by both varieties and nutrient treatment, except at 15 DAS. MH-318 variety exhibited significantly ~~greater~~ tallerplant~~sheight~~ than SML-668 and MH-421. Application of 75% RDF + 25% N/ha (FYM) + ST with *Rhizobium* + PSB resulted in significantly higher plant height at 30, 45 DAS and at harvest, compared to other treatment~~s~~, except for 100% RDF, where it was at par. The increase in plant height resulting from the application of 75% RDF + 25% FYM + ST with *Rhizobium* + PSB, in comparison to the control, was observed to be 27.45%, 17.83%, 8.95% and 12.17% at 15, 30, 45 DAS and at harvest, respectively.

Table 1 also presents data on dry matter accumulation, indicating a continuous increase as the crop matures until harvest. Varieties and nutrient treatment~~had a~~showed significant impact on dry matter accumulation at all stages, except at 15 DAS. MH-318 and SML-668 demonstrated significantly higher dry matter accumulation than MH-421. The maximum dry matter accumulation was observed with 75% RDF + 25% N/ha (FYM) + ST with *Rhizobium* + PSB at all growth stages, showing significant differences at all growth stages except 100% RDF, where it was at par. The application of 75% RDF + 25% N/ha (FYM) + ST with *Rhizobium* + PSB outperformed other treatments at 30, 45 DAS and at harvest. The combined utilization of inorganic fertilizers, organic manures and seed treatment may have facilitated significant dry matter accumulation by promoting the production of growth-enhancing hormones such as auxins, gibberellins and vitamins through the supplied

phosphorus, thus fostering plant growth. Prior studies by Singh *et al.*, (2011), Ranpariya*et al.*, (2017) and Singh *et al.*, (2019) have also reported similar observations.

Yield attributes

The data on yield attributes of greengram as influenced by varieties and nutrient management isfindings presented in Table 2. demonstrated that crop varieties and nutrient treatment significantly impacted yield contributing attributes. Greengram Vvariety MH-318 (17.9)showed similar number of pods/plant asand SML-668(16.7) recorded significantly higher pods/plant compared to , both of which were significantly higher than MH-421 (14.3) at 17.9, 16.7 and 14.3, respectively. Additionally, number of pods/plant for MH 318 and SML 668 were significantly higher at 10.6 and 10.1, respectively, when compared to MH-421's 9.85. Similarly, Pod pod length (cm) was found to be significantly higher with MH-318 (at 8.5 cm) and was statistically similar to SML-668's(7.8 cm) over MH-421's(7.5 cm). The seed index under variety MH-318 and SML-668 was at par and significantly higher over MH-421 at 5.09, 4.81 and 4.48, respectively.

Among nutrientmanagement treatments,plots applied with 75% RDF + 25% N/ha (FYM) + ST with *Rhizobium* + PSB demonstrated notable superiority in terms of pods/plant (18.1), resulting in a 29.3% increase compared to the control. The highest higher number of seeds/pod (10.7) was recorded under the treatment of 75% RDF + 25% N/ha (FYM) + ST with *Rhizobium* + PSB. Additionally, for pod length, the most effective treatment was 75% RDF + 25% N/ha (FYM) + ST with *Rhizobium* + PSB (8.6 cm). Finally, the application of 75% RDF + 25% N/ha (FYM) + ST with *Rhizobium* + PSB (5.13 g) resulted in significantly higher seed index in comparison to the other treatments.The outcomes align with the findings reported by Tyagi and Upadhyay (2015), Prajapati *et al*., (2016), Mondal and Sengupta (2019) and Singh *et al.*, (2018).

Seed Yield and Harvest Index

The findings datapresented in Table 2 indicated that both varieties and nutrient treatment significantly impactedon the seed yield of greengram. Among varieties, MH-318 exhibited the highest seed yield (1110 kg/ha), significantly surpassing MH-421 (952 kg/ha) but comparable to SML-668 (1013 kg/ha). Further, Aapplication of 75% RDF + 25% N/ha (FYM) + ST with *Rhizobium* + PSB resulted in the maximum grain yield (1269 kg/ha), surpassing all other treatments. This treatment showcased an 84%, 62%and 48% increase in yield compared to the control, 50% RDF + 50% N/ha (FYM) + ST with *Rhizobium* + PSB and 100% RDF, respectively.

Comment [A8]: What is seed index??????????

Comment [A9]: Include reason for better yield and cite some of the good work done by earlier worker in this line

Greengram ~~V~~variety MH-318 (27.1) ~~achieved~~ showed~~a~~ 1.6% and 2.1% higher ~~increase in~~ harvest index, respectively over SML-668 and MH-421~~, respectively~~. Among the nutrient management treatment, application of 75% RDF + 25% N/ha (FYM) + ST with *Rhizobium* + PSB (27.3%) and 100% RDF (26.8%) were statistically at par and had a significantly higher harvest index than 50% RDF + 50% N/ha (FYM) + ST with *Rhizobium* + PSB (26.0%) and control (23.75%). High seed yield in greengram can be attributed to the high yield of yield-contributing attributes, including the number of pods/plant, number of seeds/pod and 100-seed weight. Pandey *et al.,* (2019) and Hussain *et al.,* (2017) have also documented comparable findings.

Economics and Nutrient Uptake

Monitory analysis of greengram as influenced by varieties and nutrient management is presented in ~~Results in~~ Table 2. ~~showed that~~ Greengram MH-318 variety imparted ~~had~~ significantly higher net returns (include data) compared to SML-668 () and MH-421 () ~~varieties, with a difference of 6,742 ₹/ha and 11,074 ₹/ha, respectively~~. Similarly, application of 75% RDF + 25% N/ha (FYM) + ST with *Rhizobium* + PSB resulted in the highest net returns (), followed by 100% RDF and 50% RDF + 50% N/ha (FYM) + ST with *Rhizobium* + PSB (). The benefit-cost ratio was also higher for MH-318 variety compared to the other two varieties and the highest benefit-cost ratio was observed with the application of 75% RDF + 25% N/ha (FYM) + ST with *Rhizobium* + PSB, followed by 100% RDF.

The uptake of nutrients in greengram, as demonstrated in Table 3, is a function of biological yield and nutrient content. In particular, variety MH 318 exhibited significantly higher NPK uptake compared to SML 668 and MH 421. Application of 75% RDF + 25% N/ha (FYM) + ST with *Rhizobium* + PSB led to significantly higher NPK uptake (128.8 kg/ha), surpassing all other treatments. These findings are consistent with the results reported by Gorade *et al.,* (2014), Tambe *et al.,* (2019) and Dubey *et al.,* (2018).

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, the study suggests that cultivation of~~ing the~~ greengram variety MH-318 during the summer season, with ~~recommended practices such as~~as application of 75% RDF, 25% N/ha (FYM) and seed treatment with *Rhizobium* and PSB would provide~~, can lead to~~ higher yields and economic benefits while maintaining soil fertility. ~~These practices improve nutrient absorption and crop growth, resulting in increased yields and better profitability for farmers. Incorporating organic nitrogen sources and balanced fertilization practices also enhances soil health, crop quality and market prices~~.

References

Bhardwaj, S., Sutaliya, J.M., Parkash,R., Bhardwaj,K.K., Kumar,N., Ahlawat,I. and Pareek, A.(2022). Zero Tillage in Combination with Seed Treatment by Different Biofertilizers Increased Soil Organic Carbon, Macro and Micronutrients Status and Nodulation under Faba Bean (*Viciafaba*L.). *Legume Research*. DOI: 10.18805/LR-4971.

Dubey, S.N., Rajpal, S., Rohit, K. and Sauhard,D.(2018). Effect of phosphorus and PSB on growth, nodulation and fertility status in different mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.) varieties and its residual effect on fodder yield of sorghum in indo-gangetic plain zone of India. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences***14**(1): 196-201.

Gorade, V.N., Chavan, L.S., Jagtap, D.N. and Kolekar, A.B.(2014). Response of green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.) varieties to integrated nutrient management in summer season. *Agricultural Science Digest-A Research Journal***34**(1): 36-40.

Hussain, Z., Khan, N., Ullah, S., Liaqat, A., Nawaz, F., Rehman, F. and Ali, M.(2017). Response of mungbean to various levels of biochar, farmyard manure and nitrogen. *World Journal of Agricultural Sciences***13**(1): 26-33.

Indiastat 2022. https://www.indiastat.com/

Lal, B., Rana, K.S., Gautam, P., Rana, D.S., Shivay, Y.S., Meena, B.P. and Singh, P.(2015). Ethiopian mustard–chickpea intercropping system is a viable option for yield advantage in dryland condition of north India-Part II. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, India Section B: Biological Sciences***86**(3): 757-766.

Meena, B.P., Kumar, A., Dhar, S., Paul, S. and Kumar, A.(2016). Productivity, nutrient uptake and quality of popcorn and potato in relation to organic nutrient management practices. *Annals of Agricultural Research New Series***37**(1): 72-79.

Mondal, R. and Sengupta, K.(2019). Study on the performance of mungbean varieties in the new alluvial zone of West Bengal. *Journal of Crop and Weed***15**(1): 186-191.

Pandey, O.M., Shahi, S.K., Dubey, A.N. and Maurya, S.(2019). Effect of integrated nutrient management of growth and yield attributes of green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.). *Journal of P*h*armacognosy and Phytochemistry***8**(3): 2347-2352.

Pareek, A., Satyajeet, Satpal, Bhardwaj, S. and Nagora. M.(2022). Effect of varieties and fertility levels on fodder productivity, NPK content, uptake and protein content of summer green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.). *Forage Research***47**(4):507-512.

Prajapati, S.K., Tyagi, P.K., Chourasia, S.K. and Upadhyay, A.K.(2016). Effect of integrated nutrient management practices on growth and yield of summer mungbean (*Vigna radiata* L.). *Technofame-A Journal of Multidisciplinary Advance Research***5**(1): 102-107.

Ranpariya, V.S., Polara, K.B., Hirpara, D.V. and Bodar, K.H.(2017). Effect of potassium, zinc and FYM on content and uptake of nutrients in seed of summer green gram (*Vigna radiata* L.) and post-harvest soil fertility under medium black calcareous soil. *International Journal of Chemical Studies***5**(5): 1055-1058.

Rosales, C.M., Rivera, F., Hautia, R.A. and Del Rosario, E.(1995). Nitrogen fixation by mung bean *(Vigna radiata L.)* under field conditions in the Philippines as quantified by 15N isotope dilution (No. PNRI-C (AG)--95001). Philippine Nuclear Research Inst.

Singh, G., Sekhon, H.S., Singh, G., Brar, J.S., Bains, T.S. and Shanmugasundaram, S.(2011). Effectof plant density on the growth and yield of mungbean [*Vigna radiata* (L.) Wilczek] genotypes

under different environments in India and Taiwan. *International Journal of Agricultural Research***6**(7): 573-583.

Singh, R.P., Dhillon, B.S. and Sidhu, A.S.(2019). Productivity of summer moong (*Vigna radiata* L.) as influenced by different sowing dates and varieties. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry***8**(3): 781-784.

Singh, Y.P., Tomar, S.S., Singh, A.K. and Yadav, R.P.(2018). Nutrient management and irrigation scheduling effect on blackgram (*Vigna mungo*)-frenchbean (*Phaseolus vulgaris*) yield, economics, water productivity and soil properties. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation***17**(1): 58-64.

Tambe, A.D., Surva, U.S. and Kumbhkar, J.S.(2019). Integrated Nutrient Management in soybean-wheat cropping system. *Journal of Agroecology and Natural Resource Management***6**(4): 160-162.

Tyagi, P.K. and Upadhyay, A.K.(2015). Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield, quality,nutrients uptake and economics of summer greengram. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research***17**(3): 242-247.

Vavilov, N.I.(1926). Studies on the origin of cultivated plants. *Bulletin of Applied Botany of Genetics and Plant Breeding* **16**(2): 139-148.

Table 1: Effect of varieties and nutrient management practices ~~treatment~~ on plant height(cm) and dry matter accumulation (g/plant) of summer greengram.

Treatments	Plant ~~Height~~ height (cm)				Dry mMatter ~~Accumulation~~ accumulation (g/plant)			
	15 DAS	30 DAS	45 DAS	At Harvest	15 DAS	30 DAS	45 DAS	At Harvest
Variety								
V_1 - MH-421	10.7	21.1	33.5	37.5	0.33	1.63	8.19	14.3
V_2 - MH-318	11.3	23.9	36.2	40.5	0.35	1.72	9.01	15.5
V_3 - SML-668	11.0	22.6	35.3	38.1	0.34	1.67	8.53	15.1
CD (p≤0.05)	**NS**	**1.3**	**2.0**	**2.2**	**NS**	**0.06**	**0.36**	**0.91**
Nutrient Treatment								
F_1 - Control	9.4	20.1	33.3	36.3	0.32	1.61	7.84	13.6
F_2 - 100% RDF	11.6	23.5	35.5	39.2	0.34	1.69	8.78	15.4
F_3 - 75% RDF + 25% N/ha (FYM) + *Rhizobium* + PSB	12.0	23.7	36.3	40.7	0.35	1.70	9.16	16.2
F_4 - 50% RDF + 50% N/ha (FYM) + *Rhizobium* + PSB	11.1	22.7	34.7	38.7	0.33	1.67	8.51	14.7
CD (p≤0.05)	**NS**	**1.0**	**1.8**	**2.0**	**NS**	**0.06**	**0.39**	**1.19**

*Significant at p≤0.05, RDF: Recommended dose of fertilizer, FYM: Farm Yard Manure, PSB: Phosphorus Solubilizing Bacteria, DAS: Days after sowing, NS: Non-Significant

Table 2: Effect of varieties and nutrient management practices ~~nutrient treatment~~ on yield attributes, yield and economics of summer greengram.

Treatments	No. of ~~Pods~~ pods per ~~Plant~~plant	No. of ~~Seeds~~ seeds per ~~Pod~~pod	Pod ~~Length~~ length (cm)	100 ~~Seed~~ seed ~~Weight~~ weight (g)	Seed ~~Yield~~ yield (kg/ha)	Harvest ~~Index~~ index (%)	Net ~~Returns~~ returns (₹ /ha)	B:C ~~Ratio~~ ratio
Variety								
V_1 - MH-421	14.3	9.9	7.48	4.48	952	25.0	41898	2.68
V_2 - MH-318	17.9	10.6	8.54	5.09	1110	27.1	52972	3.12
V_3 - SML-668	16.7	10.1	7.80	4.81	1013	25.8	46230	2.86
CD (p≤0.05)	1.44	0.54	0.65	0.33	**108.2**	**1.10**	-	-
Nutrient management practice ~~Treatment~~								
F_1 - Control	14.0	9.6	7.22	4.34	689	23.8	27697	2.34
F_2 - 100% RDF	17.3	10.4	8.13	4.91	1117	26.8	54922	3.35
F_3 - 75% RDF + 25% N/ha (FYM) + *Rhizobium* +	18.1	10.7	8.55	5.13	1269	27.3	62743	3.40

PSB								
F_4 - 50% RDF + 50% N/ha (FYM) + *Rhizobium* + PSB	15.8	10.1	7.87	4.79	1024	26.0	42772	2.47
CD (p≤0.05)	1.31	0.48	0.55	0.28	**129.4**	**1.04**	-	-

*Significant at p≤0.05, RDF: Recommended dose of fertilizer, FYM: Farm Yard Manure, PSB: Phosphorus Solubilizing Bacteria, NS: Non-Significant

Table 3: Effect of varieties and **nutrient management practice** ~~nutrient treatment~~ on N, P and K uptake (kg/ha) by plant of summer greengram

Treatments	**Total ~~Nitrogen~~nitrogen ~~Uptake~~ uptake (kg/ha)**	**Total ~~Phosphorus~~phosphorus ~~Uptake~~ uptake (kg/ha)**	**Total ~~Potassium~~potassium ~~Uptake~~ uptake (kg/ha)**
Variety			
V_1 - MH-421	93.7	9.6	31.3
V_2 - MH-318	111.6	13.4	37.8
V_3 - SML-668	102.1	11.2	34.6
CD (p≤0.05)	**6.42**	**0.92**	**2.98**
Nutrient management practice~~Nutrient Treatment~~			
F_1 - Control	68.4	7.06	23.4
F_2 - 100% RDF	111.3	12.6	37.4
F_3 - 75% RDF + 25% N/ha (FYM) + *Rhizobium* + PSB	128.8	14.9	43.2
F_4 - 50% RDF + 50% N/ha (FYM) + *Rhizobium* + PSB	101.2	10.9	34.1
CD (p≤0.05)	**7.05**	**1.17**	**3.32**

*Significant at p≤0.05, RDF: Recommended dose of fertilizer, FYM: Farm Yard Manure, PSB: Phosphorus Solubilizing Bacteria