

Breeding for late blight disease resistance varieties in Potato: A strategic approach for food security and sustainability.

ABSTRACT

Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) is one of the most important staple foods that helps to resolve the global food crisis. However, its production has been limited due to various biotic and abiotic factors. Late blight disease caused by *Phytophthora infestans* is one of the destructive pathogenic diseases that not only results in potato yield losses but also increase the cost of production due to huge monetary expenses the growers inquire for disease control and preventive measures. The pathogen could result in a 20-100% yield reduction depending on the susceptibility of the variety, prevailing climatic variables, preventive and control measures taken, and the pathogen load in the area. The losses in the yield resulted in hunger and starvation. Annually, the losses due to *Phytophthora infestans* have been estimated to be \$ 12 billion globally. The use of chemicals to control the disease seems to be the most effective measure, the method is no more economically and environmentally sustainable. The development and deployment of resistant varieties remain the most sustainable approach to managing and controlling diseases to ensure food security. This review examines the significance of the late blight disease of potatoes, its control measure, and the need for disease-resistant varieties.

KEYWORDS: Chemical control, Food security, Late blight, *Phytophthora infestans*, Potato, Resistant.

Introduction

Food insecurity and sustainability is a global issue that needs urgent intervention. The world population was estimated by the United Nations [1] to reach 9.4 billion by 2050. Presently, there is a wide gap in global food supply and demand. Feeding the continuously growing population has been one of the greatest challenges encountered by international organizations, government bodies, and individuals. The level of food security in a geographical or political region is estimated by the quantity of food available, accessible, and affordable by the people. Unfortunately, a greater percentage of the human population doesn't have access to sufficient, safe, and quality daily dietary needs [2]. In Africa, the number of hungry and undernourished people are increasing rapidly in the past 10 years [3].

Overcoming the problem of food insecurity and malnutrition in the growing population requires active food production to bridge the gap between the global food supply and demand [4]. Active food production involves the use of good farm inputs, increasing the area of farmland to be cultivated, involvement of

35 more people in farming activities, and adoption of new farming techniques. While adopting these
36 strategies, biotic and abiotic factors limiting crop production should also be guided against.

37

38 Potato (*Solanium tuberosum* L) is an annual herbaceous crop that produces edible tubers. After rice,
39 wheat, and maize, potato is the fourth most consumed crop globally [5]. The average yield of potato tuber
40 in Nigeria is about 4.3 t/ha compared to the global tuber yield average of about 21.4 t/ha [6]. Potato is rich
41 in carbohydrates, protein, vitamins, minerals, and fibres [7]. Potato production, processing, and marketing
42 have contributed significantly to the livelihoods of those who are involved and enhanced food availability
43 and sustainability. Despite the economic and nutritional importance of the crop, it is still challenged by
44 different biotic and abiotic adversities. Among the various biotic factors affecting the crop, late blight
45 disease is the most devastating one causing the greatest economic losses [8].The disease could cause
46 more than 50% of tuber losses in potatoes [9]. 100 % tuber yield loss was reported by Ahmed *et al.* [10]
47 under epidemic conditions. However, the extent of losses recorded in the disease-infected farms varied
48 as per their plant protection measures taken and the degree of susceptibility of the cultivar grown, and the
49 prevailing environmental conditions in the area. Global potato losses due to late blight disease were
50 estimated to exceed US \$12 billion yearly [11], thus the pathogen responsible for the manifestation of the
51 disease is a great threat to food security and sustainability [12].

52

53 Late blight disease of potatoes is caused by *Phytophthora infestans* (Mont.) de Bary. It is a fungal disease
54 caused by *Phytophthora infestans* (*P. infestans*) in class *Oomycetes*. The pathogen *Phytophthora*
55 *infestans* is the most popular and most researched species of *Phytophthora*. However, it is the most
56 destructive of all potato diseases [13]. Managing the disease has been a major farmer's challenge.
57 Management of the disease using different approaches has increased the cost of crop production,
58 thereby reducing the grower's income. The use of chemicals seems to be the most effective control
59 measure, however, agrochemicals lead to environmental problems [14]. The objectives of this review
60 were to (i) know more about the pathogen "*Phytophthora infestans* (Mont.) de Bary" causing potato late
61 blight; (ii) understand various management approaches for potato blast disease and (iii) have reasons for
62 developing late blight disease tolerant varieties.

63

64 **Epidemiology**

65 The major source of infection in potato late blight is through infected tubers. The pathogen, *Phytophthora*
66 *infestans* can survive on numerous solanaceous plants, weeds, and in the soil which serve as a source of
67 infection in the next growing season [15-16]. The fungus can also survive on the living tissue of
68 unharvested or volunteer potatoes abandoned on the field or elsewhere¹⁶. Wind, splashed rain, materials
69 transportation, humans, and animals are responsible for the spread of the pathogen from one location to
70 another¹⁶. Within a short time of infection, new sporangiophores will emerge from the leaves' stomata and
71 thereby multiply depending on the prevailing environmental variables in the area. Bhattacharyya *et al.* [17]

72 reported that 0.01 % to 3.0 % of the infected tuber is sufficient to initiate a late blight epidemic in the
73 subsequent growing seasons.

74

75 **Symptomatology**

76 The late blight disease affects all the underground and aboveground parts of the plant. The first
77 symptoms of late blight disease in the field appear on the lower leaves with a small, light-to-dark green,
78 and circular-to irregularly-shaped. The lower leaves show water-soaked lesions which may not be easily
79 noticed¹⁶. It is sometimes surrounded by a pale yellowish border. When the variety grown are susceptible
80 and the environmental variables are favourable for the pathogen, the symptoms spread to the upper
81 leaves rapidly [18]. The light to dark green water-soaked lesions turn brown to black spots, destroying the
82 whole leaf. A white fluffy fungal appears at the underside part of the infected leaves. The visible white
83 fluffy fungal is the distinguishing feature of leaf blight¹⁸. On the stem and the petioles, light brown lesions
84 are developed in an elongated or encircled manner that weakens and breaks them, thus killing the plant
85 [19]. Rusty brown discoloration, and dry, hard, and granular lesions on the potato tuber flesh is a typical
86 symptoms of the disease [20]. A severely infected potato late blight field looks like a field burnt partially by
87 fire [21] and emits a rotten odour.

88

89 **Management Approaches of Late Blight Management Potato**

90 An integrated disease management approach is an effective method of controlling late-blast disease. This
91 involves the use of biological, cultural, and chemical measures and resistant varieties [22]. In developing
92 and under-developed nations, the use of resistant crop varieties is considered the best option for farmers
93 in managing this problem [23].

94

95 **Cultural method**

96 The first line of action to ensure a late blight-free potato field and tubers is cultural practices. This method
97 reduces the pathogen load by reducing their survivability, inhibiting their reproduction, and reducing the
98 rate of their dispersal and the pathogen penetration. The initiation of the disease can be reduced through
99 avoidance by planting disease-free tubers and eliminating all potato plants and tubers abandoned on the
100 field in the previous year. The low dosage of nitrogenous fertilizer usage is often recommended as a
101 cultural practice to inhibit the growth and development of late blight [24] while the high dosage of
102 phosphorus and potassium fertilizer is recommended [25]. The selection of well-aerated fields and early
103 planting and early weeding should be adopted as a preventive measure¹⁸.

104

105 **Biological control**

106 Biological control is an eco-friendly disease management approach. This approach is a difficult task
107 especially when the extent of the disease pressure is high and the prevailing environmental conditions
108 are favourable to the pathogen¹⁸. The use of botanicals and microorganisms has been used in the

109 management of late blight of potatoes. The leaf extracts from onions and garlic inhibit the mycelial growth
110 of *P. infestans*. The effectiveness of some antifungal properties was reported to inhibit late blight from
111 botanicals [26]. Some microorganisms have been used to inhibit the mycelial growth of late
112 blight. *Bacillus subtilis* and *Purpureocillium lilacinum* was found to be good antagonist of *P. infestans* [28].
113 O' Herlihy *et al.*, [29] reported the use of some endophytic organisms in controlling the late blight of
114 potatoes.

115
116

117 **Chemical Control**

118 The use of fungicides has been the major approach adopted to prevent late blight disease of potatoes.
119 However, the use of fungicides is temporary as they are subject to breakdown over time [30]. Thus,
120 multiple application of fungicide at certain intervals is required [31]. The fungicides can stop or slow down
121 the development of new symptoms if applied at a specific interval, however, the use of fungicides will not
122 cure potato plants with existing late blight symptoms [32].

123

124 **Forecasting Systems**

125 The use of computer programming models to forecast disease outbreaks helps to estimate the likelihood
126 and severity of the outbreak and this will help to prepare for the approaches to be adopted²³.

127

128 **Integrated Management of Blight Disease**

129 Integrated management involves the use of various disease control methods for efficient management.
130 This method should be adopted by both commercial and small-scale farmers. An Integrated Management
131 approach saves the environment from degradation and increases grower profit margin. Chemical control
132 seems to be the most effective measure against late blight disease of potatoes but it should be the last
133 option to be adopted by the grower.

134

135 **The need for genetic improvement for resistant varieties**

136 The use of improved varieties that are resistant to blast disease offers an excellent control strategy
137 without any negative effects on the ecosystem. The method is less expensive, eco-friendly, and not
138 laborious, unlike other control measures. Thereby reducing the farmer's cost of production and increasing
139 their livelihood.

140 Developing disease-resistant varieties involves a proper understanding of the disease and the plant
141 biology by the plant breeder. Although, this may be tasking because the pathogen can evolve or mutate
142 to overcome resistance genes [33]. Screening of potato accessions and wild-related species for genes
143 that are resistant to late blight is the first step in identifying the genes that are resistant to *P. infestans*.
144 The potato accessions and wild species that are resistant to blight pathogen are introgression sources of
145 new resistance genes to be introduced into some elite potato varieties using different plant breeding or

146 biotechnology tools. The availability of the potato plant and blight disease genome sequences serves as a
147 building block for further research in developing potato cultivars that are tolerant to the disease.

148

149 **Conclusion**

150 Potato blight has been one of the major biotic factors limiting potato production which results in food
151 insecurity. The use of several disease management approaches has been adopted by farmers. Most of
152 these methods are not effective because most growers don't have the proper knowledge of the
153 approaches. The chemical method seems to be the most effective but the cost is high and the negative
154 effects on the ecosystem are alarming. The development and release of tolerant varieties against *P.*
155 *infestans* is a promising approach that will not only enhance potato production for food security and
156 sustainability but also save the environment. Breeding for blight resistance and availability will be a
157 success story for the grower, the consumers, and the ecosystem at large.

158

159 **Author's contribution:** Falade Moses Jimoh conceived the idea and did the final editing. Agbowuro
160 Gbenga Oluwayomi wrote the review.

161

162 **Acknowledgments**

163 We would like to appreciate the Department of Crop, Horticulture and Landscape Design, Ekiti State
164 University, Ado-Ekiti for using their visual library.

165

166 **Declarations**

167

168 **Limitations of the study**

169 None

170

171 **Competing Interests**

172 The authors declared that no conflict of interest exists.

173 **Funding source**

174 None

175

176 **REFERENCES**

- 177 1. Bilen G, Iassaletta L, Garnier, J. A vast range of opportunities for feeding the world in 2050:
178 trade off between diet, N contamination and international trade. *Environmental Research Letters.*
179 (2015);10(2);25001. DOI: 10.1088/1748-9326/10/2/025001
180
- 181 2. Owoo, NS. Demographic considerations and food security in Nigeria. *J. Soc. Econ. Dev.* (2021);
182 23, 128-167. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40847-020-00116-y>
183
- 184 3. Food and Agriculture Organization [FAO]. *The State of Food Security and Nutrition in the World*
185 *2018. Building Climate Resilience for Food Security and Nutrition*, Food and Agriculture
186 *Organization, Rome, (2018).*
187
- 188 4. Royal Society. *Reaping the Benefits: Science and the Sustainable Intensification of Global*
189 *Agriculture.* The Royal Society, London, (2009).
190

- 191 5. Prabha, N, Nanda HC, Sharma SK. Genetic Divergence Analysis in Potato (*Solanum*
192 *tuberosum* L.). Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. (2018);7 (2):3152-3157.
193
- 194 6. Loebenstein G, Fuentes S, Cohen J, Salazar LF. Sweet potato. In: Loebenstein, G.,
195 Thottappilly, G. (eds) Virus and virus like diseases of major crops in developing countries.
196 Springer, Dordrecht, (2003). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-0791-7_9
197
- 198 7. Lal, MK, A. Kumar, A. Kumar and J. P. Raigong, (2020). Minerals in Potato. In:
199 Raigond, P. Singh, B., Dutt, S., Chakrabarti, S.K (eds) Potato. Springer, Singapore.
200 https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-7662-1_6
201
- 202 8. Chowdappa, P, Nirmal Kumar BJ, Madhura S, Mohan Kumar SP, Myers KL, Fry E,
203 Cooke DEL. Severe outbreaks of late blight on potato and tomato in South India caused by recent
204 changes in the *Phytophthora infestans* population. Plant Pathology, (2015); 64(1):191-199.
205
- 206 9. Amadi, CO, Ghislain M, Kahya SS, Dabels V, Nnadi NE, Amadi G. Prospects of
207 mitigating late blight disease of potato in nigeria through deployment of triple *r* (*3r*) stacked gene
208 transgenic varieties. Nigerian Agricultural Journal (2021); 52(2), 108.
209
- 210 10. Ahmed NM, Khan MA, Khan NA, Ali MA. Prediction of potato late blight disease based upon
211 environmental factors in Faisalabad, Pakistan. Journal of Plant Pathology and Microbiology.
212 (2015);**S3**:008
213
- 214 11. Shailbala A, Kumar A. Eco-friendly management of late blight of potato– A review. J. Appl. & Nat.
215 Sci. (2017) 9(2), 821 – 835.
216
- 217 12. Newbery F, Qi A, Fitt BD. Modelling impacts of climate change on arable crop diseases:
218 progress, challenges and applications. Current Opinion in Plant Biology, (2016);32;101-109.
219
- 220 13. Muluadam B. Review on epidemiology, sampling techniques, management strategies of
221 late blight (*phytophthora infestans*) of potato and its yield loss. Asian Journal of
222 Advances in Research. (2012);4(1): 199-207.
223
- 224 14. Guchi E. Disease management practice on potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) in Ethiopia. World
225 Journal of Agricultural Research, (2015);3(1):34-42.
226
227
- 228 15. Forbes GA, Landeo JA. Late blight. In: (Gopal, J.P.K.S.M. ed.) Handbook
229 of potato production, improvement and post harvest management, Haworth Press Inc.,
230 Binghamton, New York, (2006);279-320.
231
- 232 16. Leah TL. Fungal, oomycete, and plasmodiophorid diseases of potato and their control. In
233 Potato Production Worldwide, (2022).
234 <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-822925-5.00012-8>
235
- 236 17. Min JK, CHng KS, Jong-Ho P. Control Efficacy of *Bacillus velezensis* AFB2-2 against Potato Late
237 Blight caused by *Phytophthora infestans* in Organic Potato Cultivation. Plant Pathol.
238 (2021);10.5423/PPJ.FT.09.2021.0138
239
- 240 18. Mehi L, Sharma S, Yadav S, Kumar S. Management of Late Blight of Potato. Potato - From Incas
241 to All Over the World. InTech Publisher, (2018).
242 <http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.72472>
243
- 244 19. Van der Waals JE, Korsten L, Aveling TAS. A review of early blight of potato. African
245 Plant Protection. (2001);7(2). <https://hdl.handle.net/10520/EJC87837>

- 246
247 20. Binyam, T. Late blight of potato (*phytophthora infestans*) Biology, Economic Importance
248 and its Management Approaches. Journal of Biology, Agriculture and
249 Healthcare. (2014);4(25): 215-225
250
- 251 21 Lucas GB, Cambell CL, Lucas LT. Diseases caused by Airborne Fungi. In: Introduction
252 to Plant Diseases. Springer, Boston, (1992). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-7294-7_13
253
- 254 22. Agrios GN. *Plant pathology*. Academic press, (2005).
255
- 256 23. Agbowuro GO, Afolabi,MS, Olamiriki EF, Awoyemi SO. Rice Blast Disease
257 (*Magnaporthe oryzae*): A Menace to Rice Production and Humanity. International Journal of
258 Pathogen Research, (2020); 4(3): 32-39.
- 259 24. Pablo LC, Adriana BA, Marcelo AH. Reaction of late blight in response to nitrogen management
260 in Argentine potato cultivars. Crop Protection. (2012);42:69-73
261
- 262 25. Roy SK, Sharma RC, Trehan SP. Integrated nutrient management by using farmyard
263 manure and fertilizers in potato-sunlower-paddy rice rotation in the Punjab. The Journal of
264 Agricultural Science. (2001);137:271-278.
265
- 266 26. Sadana, D. and Didwania, N. 2015. Bioefficacy of fungicides and plant extracts against
267 *Alternaria solani* causing early blight of tomato. International Conference of Plant Marine and
268 Environmental, Sciene. (2015);1(2);38-42.
269
- 270 27. Youyo W, Congying Z, Jiao L, Lufang W, Wenbin G, Jizhi J. Itrin A extracted from
271 *Bacillus subtilis* WL-2 affects *Phytophthora infestan* via cell structure disruption, oxidation stress,
272 and energy supplydysfunction. Fron. Microbiol. (2020);11-2020.
273 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2020.536083>
274
- 275 28. Wang G, Liu Z, Lin R, Li E, Mao Z, Ling J, Yang Y, Yin WB, Xie B. Biosynthesis of
276 antibiotic Leucin statins in bio-control fungus *Purpureocillium lilacinum* and their inhibition on
277 *Phytophthora* revealed by genome mining. PLoS Pathog. (2016);12(7):1-30.
278
- 279 29. O'herlihy EA, Duffy EM, Cassells AC. The effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and chitosan
280 sprays on yield and late blight resistance in potato crops from micro-plants. Folia Geobotanica,
281 (2013);38: 201-207
282
- 283 30. Gupta SK, Thind TS. *Disease problems in vegetable production*. Scientific
284 Publishers, (2018).
285
- 286 31. Matthews G. *Pesticides: health, safety and the environment*. John Wiley & Sons, (2015).
287
- 288 32. Nieder, R., Benbi DK, Reichl FX. Health Risks Associated with Pesticides
289 in Soils. In *Soil Components and Human Health* (2018) (pp. 503-573). Springer, Dordrecht.
290
- 291 33. Hasan MM., Rafii MY , Ismail MR , Mahmood M, Alam MA, Rahim HA, Malek HA, Latif MA.
292 Introgression of blast resistance genes into the elite rice variety MR263 through marker-assisted
293 backcrossing. J. Sci. Food Agric. (2016);96(4): 1297-1305.
294
295
296