

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_107614
Title of the Manuscript:	Sex on Camera: A Postmodern Feminist Critique on Pornography
Type of the Article	

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The author of this manuscript is commended for discussing an issue that has been historically associated with the state of being unclean and metaphorically a symbolic pervert in the society.</p> <p>The title draws the attention of the reader.</p> <p>Yes, the abstract is comprehensive and create a platform through which women sexual preferences are broadened.</p> <p>Yes, they have been presented very well as they cover all facets of life which have been considered either to support the use of pornography or to dismiss it from the society.</p> <p>Yes, it is scientifically correct. The use of language does not insinuate vulgar or inappropriate language.</p> <p>Yes, the author is commended for using recent reference in the text.</p> <p>The context of this manuscript is relevant now that the world has become hybrid than before. It is a response to the agency of women who may wish to take ownership of their sexual pleasures.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, the language is presented in a scholastic manner.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lungile Prudence Zondi
Department, University & Country	University of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa