

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_107614
Title of the Manuscript:	Sex on Camera: A Postmodern Feminist Critique on Pornography
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>In my opinion, the manuscript is good for the scientific community as it tackles another dimension of feminist studies that is not commonly talked about thereby adding to the debate on what constitutes women's emancipation. The pro and anti perspectives of pornography discussed gives a glimpse of the controversies surrounding freedom of sexuality for women contrary to the commonplace patriarchal oppression. This also gives scientific adequacy to the manuscript.</p> <p>The title is appropriate and the abstract is explicit enough. The work is well structured but there are however too many minor sections that could be grouped as paragraphs. But if social science research allows for that, it can stay the way it is.</p> <p>As for the references, it is very elaborate and recent.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality is good but there is a problem with transitions. I suggest the author goes through the manuscript again and try to correlate the hanging sentences.</p> <p>The author should also discuss critics' view points in the simple present tense since these have not changed at the time of writing.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Apart from the minor corrections proposed, the paper is good for academic consumption.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eleanor Anneh Dasi
Department, University & Country	Higher Teacher Training College, University of Yaoundé I, Cameroon