

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_107277
Title of the Manuscript:	Exploring the Cultivation Mode of Innovative Master's Degree Students in New Engineering under the Perspective of New Media
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. The research is quite brief, limited. It is not an experimental or empirical article. As a theoretical article, it should have gone into the strengths and weaknesses of the proposal. We have not entered into complexities or dilemmas.</p> <p>2. Correct</p> <p>3. The abstract makes us expect more depth of the objectives and analysis they announce, but these intentions are moderately achieved, so more than "to provide reference for the cultivation of innovative master's degree students in colleges and universities" it is a reminder of the benefits of new digital media.</p> <p>4. Being a theoretical essay, it lacks the sections typical of an empirical investigation; However, the theory is well structured, well written and very understandable.</p> <p>5. Yes, you can say that it is scientific theory.</p> <p>6. References are limited but appropriate since the essay is short</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes, it is correct and understandable.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The article leaves us wanting to know more, it is interesting. It should have been accompanied with a case study.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maria A. Martinez
Department, University & Country	Alicante University, Spain