

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_107991
Title of the Manuscript:	An in Vivo Evaluation of the Hepatoprotective Potential of Triphala in CCl4 induced Hepatic Injured Rodent Model.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>yes</p> <p>type of research can be mentioned like an invivo randomised clinical trial The result in th abstract is not clear</p> <p>There is structural gap in the study, there is no sample size calculation or ANOVA test shown in the table, no Discussion section , limitations and need for the study</p> <p>No</p> <p>There are no citations marked in the study though references are masny mentioned at the end</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Certain spelling mistakes are there Pg 1 healthy in abstract spelling mistake In Pg 4 Triphala was made by combining.... No present tense need to be written</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The overall article needs to be thoroughly standardised by improving title in PICO format, Abstract structured, aims and objectives duly mentioned in the study, and elaborate on material methods. Tables and graphs need to be improved. There is no discussion section.The author is trying to describe and conclude is not clear</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ruchi Mitra
Department, University & Country	Phulojhano Medical College and Hospital, India