

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_INDJ_106948</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Burn Out and Psychological Distress among Care Givers of Dementia Patients Attending the Out Patient Geriatric Clinics of Two Nigerian Tertiary Health Institutions.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6.</p> <p>7. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>YES.</b> It adds the understanding of stress among dementia patients' caregivers' health conditions and what measures could be taken to reduce this health concern for sustainable dementia management outcomes in Nigeria.</p> <p><b>Yes.</b> Suggesting: Burn Out and Psychological Distress among Care Givers of Dementia Patients Attending Out Patient Geriatric Clinics of Two Nigerian Tertiary Health Institutions.</p> <p><b>YES.</b></p> <p><b>YES.</b></p> <p><b>YES.</b></p> <p>Only three references are current!! If time allows, the author should be encouraged to search and use current references available on the web.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p><b>YES.</b></p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Check on journal-specific accepted in-document referencing: eg, Adeloje et al [3] (2019) as Adeloje, <i>et al.</i>, (2019) or Adeloje, <i>et al.</i>, [3].</li> <li>2. Write in paragraphs rather than hanging sentences. See comments in reviewed document.</li> <li>3. Any justification for choosing the descriptive cross sectional design over other designs?</li> <li>4. How many subjects were selected from each site/hospital, why and how (proportionality)?</li> <li>5. Exclusion is not the opposite of inclusion criteria! Say, exclude those too sick to consent and communicate accurately.</li> <li>6. Did the subject provide verbal or written consent and why?</li> <li>7. Start sentences with words NOT numbers!!</li> <li>8. Studentship is NOT an occupation!!!</li> <li>9. Follow journal-specific guidelines for Table presentation.</li> <li>10. Author recommends government to provide 'some incentives to care givers'. Specify which incentives. By the way, did this come from respondents or author's intuition? Recommendations should be findings-based.</li> <li>11. Follow journal-specific guidelines for reference listing.</li> </ol>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Switbert Rwechungura Kamazima</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Muhimbili University of Health and Allied Sciences, Tanzania</b>