

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_107381
Title of the Manuscript:	Performance of Vegetable Based Cropping Sequence Under INM in Protected Conditions
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p><i>The manuscript is important for scientific community.</i></p> <p><i>The title of the article is suitable, although the manner in which the performance of vegetation cropping sequence measurement is poor explained in the main manuscript.</i></p> <p><i>Need to revise the abstract to include the objectives of the study at the start and mentioning the conclusion and recommendations at the end part of the paragraph.</i></p> <p><i>Provide a sub-section on (i) Objectives of the Study, (ii) Experimental design and Methodology, (iii) Summary Discussion of Results, and (iv) Recommendations</i></p> <p><i>The manuscript is scientifically correct, however, the objectives are needed to be written explicitly and clear in relation with the experimental design and methodology employed, and with those of the results and conclusions.</i></p> <p><i>The references are recent and sufficient.</i></p> <p><i>Seriously consider the comments and suggestions indicated as sidenotes in the manuscript.</i></p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p><i>The use of English language is suitable.</i></p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p><i>Seriously consider the comments and suggestions indicated as sidenotes in the manuscript.</i></p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Renato L. Lapitan
Department, University & Country	Institute of Renewable Natural Resources, University of the Philippines, Philippines