

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_107953
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of genetic variability, heritability, and genetic advance in broad bean (Vicia faba.L)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Manuscript is important for scientific community. Informations are suitable as crop based technology for use in future breeding programmes. The manuscript is written properly following systematic order. Explanations are made appropriately and the author has tried to fulfil the objectives with which the investigation was done. The manuscript may be regarded as of average rank.</p> <p>Suitable but little modification is necessary.</p> <p>Comprehensive.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes but some references mentioned in the bibliography are not found in the text. Similarly few references given in the text are not found in bibliography. These are however pointed out in the references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Language is of medium quality. Some grammatical mistakes were observed some of which were corrected and some require correction. Article is suitable for communication after modifying as per comments thereof.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Each data need not be repeated in the text. Referring the table no. Is enough. The comment in general is satisfactory.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	G. C. Bora
Department, University & Country	Assam Agricultural University, India