

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Medicinal Plants
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJMP_106751
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of the aqueous extract of the rhizomes of Zingiber officinale (Ginger) on sexual parameters in female wistar rats
Type of the Article	Research

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Work is interesting, but before acceptance authors need to rectify it properly with scientific justification specially in Discussion part.</p> <p>yes</p> <p>Abstract need to change, In one line you should write about the aim of your study with basic background. Yes</p> <p>No, Its not scientifically sound strong, After corrections authors may justify it.</p> <p>If possible, Authors may add 2-3 recent references specially in the result and discussion session.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Authors need to read whole manuscript carefully.</p> <p>Lots of grammatical and spelling mistakes are there.</p> <p>Over all authors need to rectify grammatical and language errors thoroughly throughout the manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>In Figure 6 and 7, add an error bar.</p> <p>Over all fruitful discussion with the support of all images in the results and discussion sessions are lacking.</p> <p>What is the main rational behind this study? Kindly Justify.</p> <p>Authors need to reframe the conclusion part. It should be like give a specific message to readers.</p> <p>Authors are advised to do the proper explanation about the figures and tables in the text.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Priya Patel</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Saurashtra University, India</b>