

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_107907
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence and Risk Factors of Ovarian Cysts in Women Aged 18-45 Years in Bangladesh: A Prospective Study on Ovarian Cyst Development and Carcinoma Risk
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The manuscript is important for scientific community as it provides valuable insights on prevalence and risk factors of Ovarian cysts in Bangladeshi women and might add data to the existing data.</p> <p>2. The title mentions two different findings. One finding are on prevalence and risk factors of Ovarian cysts and other finding is on ovarian cyst development and Carcinoma risk. Which are probably might be co-related but, are different.</p> <p>Suggestion for other titles</p> <p>a. Prevalence and risk factors of Ovarian cysts and its association with Carcinoma risk in women aged 18-45 years of Bangladeshi women. A Prospective study. (OR)</p> <p>b. A Prospective study on Prevalence and risk factors of Ovarian cysts and its association with Carcinoma risk in women aged between 18-45 years of Bangladeshi women.</p> <p>3. Abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. Manuscript is scientifically correct.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Yes</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>NA</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rishitha Sanjana
Department, University & Country	India