

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_103386
Title of the Manuscript:	QUALITY EVALUATION OF SORGHUM - MALTED COWPEA FLOUR BLENDS AND BISCUITS USING ALBINO RATS
Type of the Article	

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes. The manuscript is trying to find out the nutritional content of the sorghum-malted cowpea flour and its suitability for consumption.</p> <p>No. Suggestion: Quality evaluation of sorghum-malted cowpea flour blend for biscuit making using albino rat to test its suitability for consumption</p> <p>No.</p> <p>No.</p> <p>Yes. But its needs more clarification</p> <p>The references are moderate but not recent</p> <p>The objectives of the manuscript were not clearly stated. The period for animal study was contradicting. In the abstract, 4 weeks was stated but in the methodology 21 days was stated. I suggest that, the various percentages of the composite should have been indicated in the abstract</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>There are grammatical errors which needs to be thoroughly edited.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Even though there are mistakes in the manuscript, the general ideal of the manuscript is very useful in the field of food science.</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mary Konadu
Department, University & Country	Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology, Ghana