

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJESS_106499 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | EFFECTS OF PUPPETRY ON MOTIVATION AND ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT OF PRIMARY SCHOOLS PUPILS IN KUJE LGA, ABUJA, NIGERIA |
| Type of the Article | |

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p> | <p>Yes. In this manuscript, the author(s) studied the effects of puppetry techniques on motivation and academic achievement of primary school pupils in Kuje LGA Abuja. The study was performed by using a random sampling technique, and the result showed there is a significant relationship between puppetry technique and academic achievement, and the achievements of male and female pupils taught using the puppetry technique were more effective than those of those taught using the traditional method.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Regarding references, the newest one is 2019, four years ago. It suggested searching and reading more recent work and extending the references with the latest work.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>There are many English grammar errors; for example,</p> <p>On Page 1, in Abstract, Line 5, "question" should be "questions", Line 7, "the samples size " should be "the sample size ", "simple random sampling " should be "a simple random sampling ", and so on. Please the author(s) check the whole manuscript carefully and fix these English grammar errors. If possible, maybe it can consider using some grammar error identification software.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Xinxing Wu |
| Department, University & Country | Midway University, United States |