

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_107002
Title of the Manuscript:	Use of fertilizers and pesticides in vegetable growing and their impact on the ecosystem in the Comoé river watershed, Burkina Faso
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, currently environments and the people who occupied it were challenged by different catastrophe like natural and anthropogenic factors among them pesticides and chemical fertilizers has impact on aquatic and terrestrial biotas therefore this article try to assess the chemicals contribution for vegetation growth and contrary impacts on environment so "Yes"</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>To some extent as all we know abstract should contain five components ... background, objectives. Methods (reasonable sampling method, area selection with reasons, data collection, data analysis study design) to some extent as stated on the methodology part of this article better to bring some important on to the abstract part... result and conclusion in smart and attractive explanatory word it lacks</p> <p>To some extent yes since it is survey type it needs more detail....</p> <p>No complain</p> <p>Regarding the reference it is better to add Scopus ss indexed current reference</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, but need some improvement</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>For better and readability, the authors should recheck the abstract and methodology part and lastly better to add the negative impacts of chemical fertilizers on soil future productivity decline and in recommendation suggest other options that my serve friendly with the ecology of functional aquatic and terrestrial biotas for sustainability futures.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kero Alemu Danano
Department, University & Country	Wolaita Sodo University, Ethiopia