

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_107635</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Assessing the Geomorphological Features of Kurumanpuzha Sub Watershed in Chaliyar River Basin through Remote Sensing and Geographic Information System</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>Yes</b></p> <p><b>To some extent</b></p> <p><b>To some extent</b></p> <p><b>It is better to use more recent references and add supporting references in the discussion section</b></p> <p><b>The article has been written well presenting the geomorphological features of Kurumanpuzha. However, you need to pay attention:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Abstract too long,</li> <li>- Is the location mentioned in the abstract carried out at the institution or at the location of the research object?</li> <li>- In methods and materials, write the version of Arcgis used.</li> <li>- It is better to enlarge the map image.</li> <li>- Follow the general format of writing a scientific article</li> <li>- In the conclusion of the abstract, you should highlight the important research findings</li> <li>- in the data collection section, it is better to include the source year</li> <li>- the word "others" in the data collection section must be clarified.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>yes</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></b></p>	<p><b><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></b></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

<b>Name:</b>	<b>Murjainah</b>
<b>Department, University &amp; Country</b>	<b>University of PGRI, Indonesia</b>