

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_107635</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Assessing the Geomorphological Features of Kurumanpuzha Sub Watershed in Chaliyar River Basin through Remote Sensing and Geographic Information System</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p><b>Dear editor-in-chief</b> I would like to say thank you for choosing me as a reviewer before starting to reflect my suggestion on the manuscript.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>May be after major revision</b></li> <li><b>Yes of course</b></li> <li><b>No</b></li> <li><b>No, particularly methods and result</b></li> <li><b>No, may be after major revision</b></li> <li><b>Is okay, but it is not an appropriate format/style (what type of reference style used?)</b></li> </ol> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Data used and its source is not clearly addressed.</b></li> <li><b>For example, the author(s) addressed more than three remote sensing data and proposed for producing LULC map, however it was concluded as LULC was produced from Landsat 8, so which one image is correctly used for LU preparation?</b></li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	In my view, yes but this doesn't mean the manuscript is flawless.	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>General comment</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The present study achieved a great work.</li> <li>Introduction needs a systematic revision using appropriate citations.</li> <li>Necessity and objectives of this study should be clearly mention in the introduction section</li> <li>All Figures have poor resolution</li> <li>A whole modification about the geomorphic indicators is needed.</li> <li>The study must therefore produce further effort to prove its novelty and worth to advance knowledge so that it can be published (I mean a good contribution). It doesn't currently adhere to publication standards.</li> </ol> <p>I wish you all the best.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that
--	---------------------------	---

**Review Form 1.7**

		<i>part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Birhanu Tadesa Edosa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Wallaga University, Ethiopia</b>