

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Neuropsychiatric Disease Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_INDJ_107099
Title of the Manuscript:	A Diet That Is Gone Too Far
Type of the Article	Short communication

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Anorexia nervosa is a complicated and probably lifestyles-threatening consuming disorder. The problem is important for scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title is not suitable. The main problem is anorexia nervosa, but the problem doesn't look at that much about anorexia nervosa. It is suggested to focus on some aspect of anorexia nervosa. The topic feels like being doing popular science education.</p> <p>3. Abstract is more tedious, should be the key content should be elaborated.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are not appropriate. The topic should be refined, according to the research status of each topic and what needs to be studied.</p> <p>5. As a review, the amount of literature cited is too small, only 10, all of which are online articles, and lack of science and integrity.</p> <p>6. The references are not sufficient and recent. Lack of important and high quality literature.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The language quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Only from the title and references, the author needs further efforts in the paper writing.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fen Gu
Department, University & Country	Shanghai Ninth People's Hospital, JiaoTong University School of Medicine, China