


Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_108368
Title of the Manuscript:	Crop Growth Indices as influenced by FYM, Vermicompost and fertility levels of Indian Mustard (<i>Brassica juncea</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> This paper presents the results of research that was carried out to study the effect of FYM, Vermicompost and fertility levels on growth and yield of Indian mustard. 14 treatments were carried out, following which the plant height, leaf area index, crop growth rate and relative growth rate were monitored. Suggested title is fine and suitable yes yes This study utilized four error metrics to better evaluate the performance or prediction accuracy of the model. The introduction provide sufficient background and include all relevant references. The cited references are relevant to this research. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>English language fine</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The research design is appropriate. The methods are adequately described, but it's missing a map of location of experimental site The results presented in the tables could be rendered much more suggestively through graphic representations regarding the variation of the parameters considered in this study, for the 14 analyzed treatments.</p> <p>For example:</p> 	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mihaela Toderas
Department, University & Country	University of Petrosani, Romania